

U.S. Department of
Homeland Security

United States
Coast Guard



Director
United States Coast Guard
National Pollution Funds Center

4200 Wilson Blvd. Suite 1000
Arlington, VA 20598-7100
Staff Symbol: (CA)
Phone: 703-872-6100
E-mail: [REDACTED]@uscg.mil
Fax: 703-872-6113

5890
7/31/2012

CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
Number: 7010 1060 0001 7083 2314

Sent via Email: [REDACTED]@k-and-s.com

K+S Services, Inc
ATTN: Grayson Layne
20401 Gladwin Avenue
Taylor, MI 48180

Re: Claim Number E10516-0002

Dear Mr. Layne:

The National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC) in accordance with the Oil Pollution Act (OPA) (33 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.), has determined that \$9,572.31 is compensable for OPA claim number E10516-0002.

This reconsideration determination is based on an analysis of information submitted.


All costs that are not determined compensable are considered denied. Disposition of this reconsideration constitute final agency action.

If you accept this determination, please sign the enclosed Acceptance/Release Form where indicated and return to:

Director (ca)
U.S. Coast Guard
National Pollution Funds Center
4200 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1000
Arlington, VA 20598-7100

If we do not receive the signed original Acceptance/Release Form within 60 days of the date of this letter, the determination is void. If the determination is accepted, your payment will be mailed within 30 days of receipt of the Release Form.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss the matter, you may contact me at the above address.



Chief, Claims Adjudication Divisions

ENCL: Claim Summary/Determination
Acceptance / Release Form

U.S. Department of
Homeland Security

**United States
Coast Guard**



Director
United States Coast Guard
National Pollution Funds Center

4200 Wilson Blvd. Suite 1000
Arlington, VA 20598-7100

Staff Symbol: (CA)

Phone: [REDACTED]

E-mail:

[REDACTED]@uscg.mil

Fax: 703-872-6113

Claim Number: E10516-0002	Claimant Name: K+S Services, Inc ATTN: Grayson Layne 20401 Gladwin Avenue Taylor, MI 48180
---------------------------	---

I, the undersigned, ACCEPT the settlement offer of \$9,572.31 as full compensation for (removal costs / damages) arising from the specific claim number identified above. With my signature, I also acknowledge that I accept as final agency action all costs submitted with subject claim that were denied in the determination and for which I received no compensation.

This settlement represents full and final release and satisfaction of the amounts paid from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 for this claim. I hereby assign, transfer, and subrogate to the United States all rights, claims, interest and rights of action, that I may have against any party, person, firm or corporation that may be liable for the amounts paid for which I have been compensated under this claim. I authorize the United States to sue, compromise or settle in my name and the United States fully substituted for me and subrogated to all of my rights arising from and associated with those amounts paid for which I am compensated for with this settlement offer. I warrant that no legal action has been brought regarding this matter and no settlement has been or will be made by me or any person on my behalf with any other party for amounts paid which is the subject of this claim against the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (Fund).

This settlement is not an admission of liability by any party.

With my signature, I acknowledge that I accept as final agency action all amounts paid for this claim and amounts denied in the determination for which I received no compensation.

I, the undersigned, agree that, upon acceptance of any compensation from the Fund, I will cooperate fully with the United States in any claim and/or action by the United States against any person or party to recover the compensation. The cooperation shall include, but is not limited to, immediately reimbursing the Fund any compensation received from any other source for the same claim, providing any documentation, evidence, testimony, and other support, as may be necessary for the United States to recover from any other person or party.

I, the undersigned, certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the information contained in this claim represents all material facts and is true. I understand that misrepresentation of facts is subject to prosecution under federal law (including, but not limited to 18 U.S.C. 287 and 1001).

_____ Title of Person Signing	_____ Date of Signature
_____ Typed or Printed Name of Claimant or Name of Authorized Representative	_____ Signature

_____ Title of Witness	_____ Date of Signature
_____ Typed or Printed Name of Witness	_____ Signature

_____ EIN / SSN Required for Payment	_____ Bank Routing Number	_____ Bank Account Number
---	------------------------------	------------------------------

CLAIM SUMMARY / DETERMINATION FORM

Claim Number	: E10516-0002
Claimant	: K+S Services, Inc
Type of Claimant	: Corporate (US)
Type of Claim	: Removal Costs
Claim Manager	: Dawn Unglesbee
Amount Requested	: \$10,011.96

Facts:

On March 30, 2010, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the Michigan Department of Natural Resources & Environmental (MDNR) contacted the Claimant, K+S Services (K+S or Claimant) to inform them that petroleum oil was identified on their property near the southeast corner of Eureka and Huron Street in Taylor, Michigan. The petroleum was found in the west right-of-way (ROW) drainage ditch, at approximately milepost 5.1-5.2 in the Dearborn Subdivision. The oil moved toward the Frank & Poet Drain which is a nexus to Gibraltar Bay, a navigable waterway of the United States.

The site consists of a small surface water drainage ditch that runs north/south between the address of 20401 Gladwin Avenue, 15200 and 15801 Huron Street and a Canadian National railroad track. Approximately 800 feet of ditch line was affected. The ditch contained open water for approximately 200 feet; then transitioned to a covered drain tile pipe for the remainder of the ditch run until converging with the Frank and Poet Drain upstream of a railroad bridge.¹

Claimant contracted with Arcadis as their Spill Management Team (SMT) and Environmental response Group (ERG) for removal of the petroleum product from the drainage ditch.

Responsible Party:

USEPA determined that the petroleum was a result of illegal dumping and no responsible party was identified. Because the impact was on Claimant's property, the USEPA (OSC) requested Claimant to assume responsibility for the ditch remediation. K+S complied with the USEPA and initiated cleanup efforts with the guidance from the USEPA and MDNRE.

Claimant and Claim Description:

K+S presented this claim to the National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC) on April 2, 2012, seeking reimbursement of their uncompensated removal costs in the amount of \$10,011.96.

K+S provided the following in their original claim submission: Optional OSLTF Claim Form, a summary of the cleanup activities, an American Express invoice to positively show proof of payment to ERG, Butzel Long, Legal Services invoices with proof of payment,² an invoice and proof of payment³ from Arcadis Services, an additional witness list, ERG Invoice # 257, ERG Daily Job tickets,⁴ and an ERG Price List effective 8/8/08.

¹ POLREP #1 AND POLREP #2

² Check # 277087

³ Check # 278505

⁴ Ticket # 001026, dated 3/26/10 and Ticket # 001128, dated 3/27/10

Request for Reconsideration:

On July 26, 2012, Claimant requested reconsideration of their claim via email.⁵ As part of the Reconsideration Package submitted by Claimant; a 2009 Arcadis rate schedule was provided as well as a brief narrative as to what actions his Attorney, Butzel Long, took while an RP was trying to be established. The Arcadis rate schedule was not provided during the initial claim submission. Also, it was unclear at the time of the initial claim as to what the Attorney was actually doing during the response. Claimant's narrative that was provided under Reconsideration explains what actions the Attorney provided to the Claimant while an RP was being determined.⁶

NPFC Removal Costs Determination Reconsideration:

Under 33 CFR 136.105(a) and 136.105(e)(6), Claimant bears the burden of providing the NPFC all evidence, information, and documentation deemed necessary by the Director, NPFC, to support the claim. Further, a request for reconsideration must be in writing and include the factual or legal grounds for the relief requested, providing any additional support for the claim. 33 CFR 136.115(d). As noted above a claimant must establish the criteria provided in 33 CFR § 136.203 for removal costs claims.

The NPFC's analysis on reconsideration was a *de novo* review of the Claimant's entire claim submission.

Determination of removal Costs on Reconsideration:

A. Findings of Facts:

1. The removal actions were coordinated with the USEPA as evidenced by POLREP #1 and POLREP #2. The FOSC for this incident determined that the actions undertaken by the claimant were deemed consistent with the NCP. 33 U.S.C §§2702(b)(1)(B) and 2712(a)(4);
2. The incident involved the discharge and substantial threat of discharge of "oil" as defined in OPA 90, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(23), to navigable waters;
3. The claim was submitted within the six-year period of limitation for claims. 33 U.S.C. §2712(h)(2);
4. The NPFC Claims Manager thoroughly reviewed all documentation submitted with the claim and determined what costs presented were for actions in accordance with the NCP and that the costs for these actions were reasonable and allowable under OPA and 33 CFR § 136.205. The Claims Manager also identified denied costs and the grounds for denial.

B. Analysis:

The NPFC Claims Manager has reviewed the actual cost documents to confirm that the Claimant had incurred all costs claimed. The review focused on: (1) whether the actions taken were compensable "removal actions" under OPA and the claims regulations at 33 CFR 136 (e.g., actions to prevent, minimize, mitigate the effects of the incident); (2) whether the costs were incurred as a result of these actions; (3) whether the actions taken were determined by the FOSC, and (4) whether the costs were adequately documented and reasonable.

⁵ Reconsideration request email dtd July 26, 2012

⁶ See Reconsideration request.

The NPFC has determined on reconsideration that the majority of the removal costs incurred by the Claimant and its associated vendors were reasonable and necessary in order to mitigate the effects of the incident. Upon reconsideration and in consideration of the information provided by the Claimant, the NPFC has determined that the costs billed and presented to the Fund for reimbursement are deemed reasonable and consistent with the NCP except those denied costs indicated below.

Itemization of Denied Costs:

Claimant provided Butzel Long Invoice # 8466898 for services provided by Claimant's Attorney. The first line item on the invoice dated 3/28/10, for calls with regards to oil spill and Clean Water Act research and OPA defenses are not OPA compensable as the Oil Pollution Act does not compensate these type of activities as response costs. Furthermore, a charge for copies in the amount of \$3.96 on the same Butzel Long invoice are deemed non-OPA compensable charges as OPA does not pay for costs associated with the preparation of the claim. 33 CFR § 136.205(d)(8). Therefore the above listed costs associated with this invoice are hereby denied.⁷

Total Amount Denied = \$439.65

The NPFC hereby determines that \$9,572.31 is payable by the OSLTF as full compensation for reimbursable removal costs incurred by the claimant and submitted to the NPFC under claim # E10516-0002. All costs claimed are for charges paid for by Claimant for removal actions as that term is defined in OPA and are compensable removal costs payable by the OSLTF is presented by Claimant.

DETERMINED AMOUNT: \$9,572.31

<p>Claim Supervisor: </p> <p>Date of Supervisor's Review: 7/31/12</p> <p>Supervisor Action: <i>Reconsideration approved</i></p> <p>Supervisor's Comments:</p>

⁷ Butzel Long Invoices # 8466898.