

## CLAIM SUMMARY / DETERMINATION FORM

Date	: 2/23/2010
Claim Number	: 910042-001
Claimant	: State of California
Type of Claimant	: State
Type of Claim	: Removal Costs
Claim Manager	: Felita Jackson
Amount Requested	: \$67,407.28

### **FACTS:**

- 1. Oil Spill Incident:** On February 20, 2008 a Renner Petroleum truck trailer, carrying 3,953 gallons of red dye diesel fuel, overturned downstream of the 11.38 mile marker of Highway 199 in Del Norte County, California. The accident caused the fuel tank on the trailer to rupture, discharging the fuel on the north shoulder of the road. The discharge of the fuel reached the Smith River. The Smith River is a navigable waterway of the United States. The State on Scene Coordinator (SOSC), Warden Paul Weldon, arrived at the site and noted that as a result of the accident, he could smell a strong odor of diesel and could see small pools of the fuel in the ditch on the north side of the road. The California Department of Fish and Game (California DFG) acted as the trustee agency for the resources in the Smith River. The California Highway Patrol (CHP) was the lead responder due to the incident involving a discharge on the highway. See NRC Report number 862913.

Christopher Renner, the responsible party (RP), hired NRC of Eureka, California for the clean up, as well as the clean up consulting firm, Winsler & Kelly. The NPFC issued a RP Notification Letter to the potential responsible party on February 22, 2010 and to date, no response has been received.

- 2. The Claim:** On December 29 2009, the Claimant submitted a removal cost claim in the amount of \$67,407.28 to the National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC) for reimbursement for their uncompensated State response costs. The claim consists of a billing summary, invoices, State investigation reports, personnel time records, receipts, photographs, and USCG MISLE Case Report.

According to the claimant, even after multiple written and verbal requests for payment, the RP refuses to pay the claim.

### **APPLICABLE LAW:**

Under OPA 90, at 33 USC § 2702(a), responsible parties are liable for removal costs and damages resulting from the discharge of oil into navigable waters and adjoining shorelines, as described in Section 2702(b) of OPA 90. A responsible party's liability will include "removal costs incurred by any person for acts taken by the person which are consistent with the National Contingency Plan" 33 USC § 2702(b)(1)(B).

"Oil" is defined in relevant part, at 33 USC § 2701(23), to mean "oil of any kind or in any form, including petroleum, fuel oil, sludge, oil refuse, and oil mixed with wastes other than dredged spoil."

The Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (OSLTF), which is administered by the NPFC, is available, pursuant to 33 USC §§ 2712(a)(4) and 2713 and the OSLTF claims adjudication regulations at 33 CFR Part 136, to pay claims for uncompensated removal costs that are determined to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan and uncompensated damages. Removal costs are defined as "the costs of removal that are incurred after a discharge of oil has occurred or, in any case in which there is a substantial threat of a discharge of oil, the costs to prevent, minimize, or mitigate oil pollution from an incident."

Under 33 USC §2713(b)(2) and 33 CFR 136.103(d) no claim against the OSLTF may be approved or certified for payment during the pendency of an action by the claimant in court to recover the same costs that are the subject of the claim. See also, 33 USC §2713(c) and 33 CFR 136.103(c)(2) [claimant election].

33 U.S.C. §2713(d) provides that "If a claim is presented in accordance with this section, including a claim for interim, short-term damages representing less than the full amount of damages to which the claimant ultimately may be entitled, and full and adequate compensation is unavailable, a claim for the uncompensated damages and removal costs may be presented to the Fund."

Under 33 CFR 136.105(a) and 136.105(e)(6), the claimant bears the burden of providing to the NPFC, all evidence, information, and documentation deemed necessary by the Director, NPFC, to support the claim.

Under 33 CFR 136.105(b) each claim must be in writing, for a sum certain for each category of uncompensated damages or removal costs resulting from an incident. In addition, under 33 CFR 136, the claimant bears the burden to prove the removal actions were reasonable in response to the scope of the oil spill incident, and the NPFC has the authority and responsibility to perform a reasonableness determination. Specifically, under 33 CFR 136.203, "a claimant must establish -

- (a) That the actions taken were necessary to prevent, minimize, or mitigate the effects of the incident;
- (b) That the removal costs were incurred as a result of these actions;
- (c) That the actions taken were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC."

Under 33 CFR 136.205 "the amount of compensation allowable is the total of uncompensated *reasonable* removal costs of actions taken that were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC. Except in exceptional circumstances, removal *activities* for which costs are being claimed must have been coordinated with the FOSC." [Emphasis added].

## **DETERMINATION OF LOSS:**

### **A. Overview:**

1. FOSC coordination was made with MSD Humboldt Bay and USCG MISLE case # 393197 was generated by Sector San Francisco. PO Michael Nosabaum was present at the Unified Command and received regular updates as response to the incident occurred.
2. The incident involved the substantial threat of discharge of “oil” as defined in OPA 90, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(23), to navigable waters.
3. In accordance with 33 CFR § 136.105(e)(12), the claimant has certified no suit has been filed in court for the claimed uncompensated removal costs.
4. The claim was submitted on time.
5. The NPFC Claims Manager has thoroughly reviewed all documentation submitted with the claim and determined that the majority of the removal costs presented were for actions in accordance with the NCP and that costs for these actions were indeed reasonable and allowable under OPA and 33 CFR § 136.205 as set forth below.

### **B. Analysis:**

The NPFC Claims Manager has reviewed the actual cost invoices and dailies to confirm that the claimant had incurred all costs claimed. The review focused on: (1) whether the actions taken were compensable “removal actions” under OPA and the claims regulations at 33 CFR 136 (e.g., actions to prevent, minimize, mitigate the effects of the incident); (2) whether the costs were incurred as a result of these actions; (3) whether the actions taken were determined by the FOSC, and (4) whether the costs were adequately documented and reasonable.

Based upon the review of the Incident Action Plans provided by the claimant which were generated in the Unified Command and based on the affirmation of activities performed as noted in the United States Coast Guard’s MISLE Case, the actions taken were deemed consistent with the NCP and determined reasonable. USCG reported that the claimant was the lead agency for this incident.

Upon review of the claim, the Claims Manager hereby determines that there are discrepancies with regards to the reimbursement requested and the cost documentation provided by the claimant. Under the Personnel Costs billing portion, Staff Environmental Scientist, Jane Vorpapel, billed for seven hours on March 4, 2008. However, the corresponding time record notes that she worked 6.5 hours that day. Claimant billed a total of 94 hours at \$70.20 per hour for the work of Ms. Vorpapel for a total of \$6,598.80. However, the claimant will be compensated for 93.5 hours at the same rate for a total of \$6,563.70, for a total denied amount of \$35.10. With regards to reimbursement for Travel Expenses, claimant requests a total of \$4,777.52. However, per the claimant’s e-mail correspondence of February 17, 2010, Industrial Hygienists, Robert Ford and Jeff Westervelt did not “code” their work hours on their monthly time reports, and therefore there is no official financial record that documents their hours worked. Based on that information, the reimbursement for travel expenses of \$166.40 for Mr. Ford and \$462.44 for Mr. Westervelt are denied, for a total of denied amount of \$628.84. Claimant will be reimbursed \$4,148.68 for travel expenses.

Therefore, the NPFC has adjusted the amount payable to \$66,743.34, for a total denied amount of \$663.94.

Based on the NPFC's denial of \$663.94, the NPFC determines that the OSLTF will pay \$66,743.34 as full compensation for the reimbursable removal costs incurred by the Claimant and submitted to the NPFC under claim# 910042-001.

**C. *Determined Amount:***

The NPFC has determined that the OSLTF will pay \$66,743.34 as full compensation for the reimbursable removal costs incurred by the Claimant and submitted to the NPFC under claim# 910042-001.

**AMOUNT: \$66,743.34**

Claim Supervisor: *Donna Hellberg*

Date of Supervisor's review: *3/8/10*

Supervisor Action: *Approved*

Supervisor's Comments:

U.S. Department of  
Homeland Security

**United States  
Coast Guard**



Director  
United States Coast Guard  
National Pollution Funds Center

US COAST GUARD STOP 7100  
4200 WILSON BLVD STE 1000  
ARLINGTON VA 20598-7100  
Staff Symbol: (CA)  
Phone: [REDACTED]  
E-mail: [REDACTED]n@uscg.mil  
Fax: 202-493-6937

5890  
3/08/2010

SENT VIA E-MAIL [REDACTED]@ospr.dfg.ca.gov

State of California  
Dept of Fish & Game (OSPR)  
Attn: Becky Mack  
PO Box 944209  
Sacramento, CA 94233-2090

Re: Claim Number 910042-001

Dear Ms. Mack:

The National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC), in accordance with the Oil Pollution Act (OPA) (33 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.), has determined that \$66,743.34 is full compensation for OPA claim number 910042-001.

This determination is based on an analysis of the information submitted. Please see the attached determination for further details regarding the rationale for this decision.

If you accept this determination, please sign the enclosed Acceptance/Release Form where indicated and return to the above address.

If we do not receive the signed original Acceptance/Release Form within 60 days of the date of this letter, the determination is void. If the determination is accepted, an original signature and a valid tax identification number (EIN or SSN) are required for payment. If you are a Claimant that has submitted other claims to the National Pollution Funds Center, you are required to have a valid Central Contractor Registration (CCR) record prior to payment. If you do not, you may register free of charge at [www.ccr.gov](http://www.ccr.gov). Your payment will be mailed or electronically deposited in your account within 60 days of receipt of the Release Form.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss the matter, you may contact me at the above address or by phone at [REDACTED].

Sincerely,

Felita Jackson  
Claims Manager

ENCL: Claim Summary/Determination Form  
Acceptance/Release Form

U.S. Department of  
Homeland Security

**United States  
Coast Guard**



Director  
United States Coast Guard  
National Pollution Funds Center

US COAST GUARD STOP 7100  
4200 WILSON BLVD STE 1000  
ARLINGTON VA 20598-7100

Staff Symbol: (CA)

Phone: [REDACTED]

E-mail: [REDACTED]@uscg.mil

Fax: 202-493-6937

Claim Number: 910042-001	Claimant Name: State of California Dept of Fish & Game (OSPR) Attn: Becky Mack PO Box 944209 Sacramento, CA 94233-2090
--------------------------	--

I, the undersigned, ACCEPT the determination of \$66,743.34 as full compensation for the removal costs incurred.

This determination represents full and final release and satisfaction of all removal cost incurred under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2712(a)(4), associated with the above referenced claim. This determination is not an admission of liability by any party. I hereby assign, transfer, and subrogate to the United States all rights, claims, interest and rights of action, that I may have against any party, person, firm or corporation that may be liable for the loss. I authorize the United States to sue, compromise or settle in my name and the United States fully substituted for me and subrogated to all of my rights arising from the incident. I warrant that no legal action has been brought regarding this matter and no settlement has been or will be made by me or any person on my behalf with any other party for costs which are the subject of the claim against the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (Fund).

I, the undersigned, agree that, upon acceptance of any compensation from the Fund, I will cooperate fully with the United States in any claim and/or action by the United States against any person or party to recover the compensation. The cooperation shall include, but is not limited to, immediately reimbursing the Fund any compensation received from any other source for the same claim, providing any documentation, evidence, testimony, and other support, as may be necessary for the United States to recover from any other person or party.

I, the undersigned, certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the information contained in this claim represents all material facts and is true. I understand that misrepresentation of facts is subject to prosecution under federal law (including, but not limited to 18 U.S.C. 287 and 1001).

_____	_____
Title of Person Signing	Date of Signature
_____	_____
Typed or Printed Name of Claimant or Name of Authorized Representative	Signature

_____	_____
Title of Witness	Date of Signature
_____	_____
Typed or Printed Name of Witness	Signature

_____	_____	_____
DUNS #	Bank Routing Number	Bank Account Number