

CLAIM SUMMARY / DETERMINATION FORM

Date	: 9/14/2009
Claim Number	: 909103-001
Claimant	: United States Environmental Services, LLC
Type of Claimant	: Corporate (US)
Type of Claim	: Removal Costs
Claim Manager	: Felita Jackson
Amount Requested	: \$38,581.89

FACTS:

1. Oil Spill Incident: On July 2, 2007, a fire at the Covenant Cove Marina (Covenant Cove), at 7001 Val Monte Drive, Guntersville, Alabama, damaged and sank approximately twelve pleasure vessels of various sizes, causing a discharge of oil (engine oil) and creating the potential for additional discharges to occur until the dock and debris from the vessels were removed from Guntersville Lake, Guntersville, Alabama. Guntersville Lake, a tributary of the Tennessee River, is a navigable water of the United States. The incident was reported to the National Response Center (NRC) via report # 840651.¹ Boom and absorbents were applied to the inlet of the marina, as well as continued efforts to extinguish the structure fire. Due to the gasoline and mixtures of gasoline and oil from the vessels, the owner of the Covenant Cove requested hazardous materials support, assistance and remediation from the United States Environmental Services, LLC (USES). Anita McBurnett, Director of the Marshall County Emergency Management Agency, contacted USES on behalf of the owner. USES was hired for the clean up.

2. Description of removal actions: USES began the clean up on July 5, 2007. USES contained the oil initially released as a result of the fire. They removed the debris, vessels and motors that posed a continuing threat of oil discharge.

From July 5, 2007 to July 9, 2007, USES deployed a supervisor with at least three recovery technicians; two pickup trucks; a work boat; a centrifugal trash pump; and an excavator. On the final day, July 10, 2007, the disposal was performed. USES deployed a supervisor and one recovery technician; two pickup trucks; Greer Enterprises handled disposal; and Saginaw Recycling was used for transportation of the disposal.

Disposal Manifests are included with the claim submission.

3. The Claim: On June 19, 2009, the Claimant submitted a removal cost claim in the amount of \$38,581.89 to the National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC) for reimbursement for their uncompensated response costs. The claim consists of the USES incident billing summary, invoices of work performed and supplies used during the clean up, disposal manifests and proof of payment.

The claimant has communicated with Covenant Cove and submitted the claim to them on July 31, 2007. Covenant Cove informed the claimant that the charges were excessive

¹ See, NRC Report # 840651

despite that fact that the cost was billed in accordance with the rate schedule in place at the time the services were rendered. Claimant's follow-up calls to Covenant Cove have gone unanswered.

APPLICABLE LAW:

Under OPA 90, at 33 USC § 2702(a), responsible parties are liable for removal costs and damages resulting from the discharge of oil into navigable waters and adjoining shorelines, as described in Section 2702(b) of OPA 90. A responsible party's liability will include "removal costs incurred by any person for acts taken by the person which are consistent with the National Contingency Plan." 33 USC § 2702(b)(1)(B).

"Oil" is defined in relevant part, at 33 USC § 2701(23), to mean "oil of any kind or in any form, including petroleum, fuel oil, sludge, oil refuse, and oil mixed with wastes other than dredged spoil."

The Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (OSLTF), which is administered by the NPFC, is available, pursuant to 33 USC §§ 2712(a)(4) and 2713 and the OSLTF claims adjudication regulations at 33 CFR Part 136, to pay claims for uncompensated removal costs that are determined to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan and uncompensated damages. Removal costs are defined as "the costs of removal that are incurred after a discharge of oil has occurred or, in any case in which there is a substantial threat of a discharge of oil, the costs to prevent, minimize, or mitigate oil pollution from an incident."

Under 33 USC §2713(b)(2) and 33 CFR 136.103(d) no claim against the OSLTF may be approved or certified for payment during the pendency of an action by the claimant in court to recover the same costs that are the subject of the claim. See also, 33 USC §2713(c) and 33 CFR 136.103(c)(2) [claimant election].

33 U.S.C. §2713(d) provides that "If a claim is presented in accordance with this section, including a claim for interim, short-term damages representing less than the full amount of damages to which the claimant ultimately may be entitled, and full and adequate compensation is unavailable, a claim for the uncompensated damages and removal costs may be presented to the Fund."

Under 33 CFR 136.105(a) and 136.105(e)(6), the claimant bears the burden of providing to the NPFC, all evidence, information, and documentation deemed necessary by the Director, NPFC, to support the claim.

Under 33 CFR 136.105(b) each claim must be in writing, for a sum certain for each category of uncompensated damages or removal costs resulting from an incident. In addition, under 33 CFR 136, the claimant bears the burden to prove the removal actions were reasonable in response to the scope of the oil spill incident, and the NPFC has the authority and responsibility to perform a reasonableness determination. Specifically, under 33 CFR 136.203, "a claimant must establish -

- (a) That the actions taken were necessary to prevent, minimize, or mitigate the effects of the incident;
- (b) That the removal costs were incurred as a result of these actions;
- (c) That the actions taken were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC.”

Under 33 CFR 136.205 “the amount of compensation allowable is the total of uncompensated *reasonable* removal costs of actions taken that were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC. Except in exceptional circumstances, removal *activities* for which costs are being claimed must have been coordinated with the FOSC.” [Emphasis added].

DETERMINATION OF LOSS:

A. Overview:

1. The NPFC has determined that the actions undertaken by the claimant are deemed consistent with the National Contingency Plan (NCP). This determination is made in accordance with the Delegation Authority for Determination of Consistency with NCP for the payment of uncompensated removal cost claims under section 1012(a)(4), Oil Pollution Act of 1990.
2. The incident involved the discharge of “Oil” as defined in OPA 90, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(23), to navigable waters.
3. In accordance with 33 CFR§ 136.105(e)(12), the claimant has certified no suit has been filed in court for the claimed uncompensated removal costs.
4. The claim was submitted on time.
5. The NPFC Claims Manager has thoroughly reviewed all documentation submitted with the claim and determined that some removal costs presented were for actions in accordance with the NCP and that the costs for these actions were indeed reasonable and allowable under OPA and 33 CFR§ 136.205 as set forth below.
6. The review of the actual costs, invoices and dailies focused on the evaluation of whether such costs qualify as “Compensation Allowable” under 33 CFR§ 136.205.

B. Analysis:

The NPFC Claims Manager has reviewed the actual cost invoices and dailies to confirm that the claimant had incurred all costs claimed. The review focused on: (1) whether the actions taken were compensable “removal actions” under OPA and the claims regulations at 33 CFR 136 (e.g., actions to prevent, minimize, mitigate the effects of the incident); (2) whether the costs were incurred as a result of these actions; (3) whether the actions taken were determined by the FOSC, and (4) whether the costs were adequately documented and reasonable.

The Claims Manager’s review of the cost documentation reveals overtime charges for Thursday, July 5, 2007, Friday, July 6, 2007, and Monday, July 9, 2007. According to the claimant’s rate schedule, straight time rates apply from 0800 to 1700, Monday through Friday. Claimant requests compensation for eleven-hour, overtime work days for Saturday, July 7, 2007 and Sunday, July 8, 2007. Claimant also requests compensation for Tuesday, July 10, 2007 in which the supervisor and the technician worked an eight-hour day, but the claimant requests compensation for subsistence for a total of \$50.00 for

the two employees. The claimant will be compensated for removal costs based on the rate schedule provided with the claim submission.

According to the USES daily work report of Thursday, July 5, 2007, C. Pinion, T. Pinion, J. Johnson, and J. Coedy began work at 05:30 and ended their work day at 19:30. Six hours of overtime was billed. However, based on the rate schedule, from 05:30 to 08:00 equates to 2.5 hours of overtime, 08:00 to 17:00 is straight time, and from 17:00 to 19:30 equates to 2.5 hours of overtime, which yields a total of 5.0 hours of overtime for C. Pinion, T. Pinion, J. Johnson and J. Coedy. USES employee A. Farmer worked from 07:30 to 19:30 that day. From 07:30 to 08:00 equates to 0.50 hours of overtime, from 08:00 to 17:00 is straight time, and from 17:00 to 19:30 equates to 2.5 hours of overtime, which yields a total of 3.0 hours of overtime. Therefore, the NPFC has adjusted the amount payable for personnel to \$3,374.00 from \$3,665.00 requested by the claimant, denying \$291.00.

The USES daily work report for Friday, July 6, 2007 notes that C. Pinion, T. Pinion, J. Coedy, and A. Farmer began work at 07:30 and ended work at 19:00. From 07:30 to 08:00 equates to 0.50 hours of overtime, 08:00 to 17:00 is straight time, and from 17:00 to 19:00 equates to 2.0 hours of overtime, which yields a total of 2.5 hours of overtime. Therefore, the NPFC has adjusted the amount payable for personnel to \$2,236.50 from \$2,947.50 requested by the claimant, denying \$711.00.

The USES daily work report for Monday, July 9, 2007 notes that C. Pinion, T. Pinion, J. Coedy, and A. Farmer began work at 07:30 and ended work at 18:30. From 07:30 to 08:00 equates to 0.50 hours of overtime, 08:00 to 17:00 is straight time, and from 17:00 to 18:30 equates to 1.5 hours of overtime, which yields a total of 2.0 hours of overtime. Therefore, the NPFC has adjusted the amount payable for personnel to \$2,118.00 from \$2,355.00 requested by the claimant, denying \$237.00.

Claimant requested reimbursement for weekend overtime charges of eleven hours for Saturday, July 7, 2007 and Sunday, July 8, 2007, Claimant will be compensated for ten hours of overtime each day for each employee. As documented on the claimant's weekday dailies, the supervisor and technicians took an hour lunch each day, and noted on the dailies that they worked eight hours from 08:00 to 17:00. The same rationale applies to eleven-hour workdays. Therefore, the NPFC has adjusted the amount payable for personnel to \$3,385.00 from \$3,676.00 requested by the claimant for July 7, 2007, denying \$291.00; and adjusted the amount payable for personnel to 3,385.00 from \$3,676.00 requested by the claimant for July 8, 2007, denying \$291.00, for a total amount denied of \$582.00.

The claimant also requested compensation for per diem at \$25.00 each for one supervisor and one technician, for a total amount of \$50.00 for Tuesday, July 10, 2007. However, the rate schedule indicates that this applies when work exceeds twelve hours (when overnight accommodations are not required). The July 10, 2007 daily documented the hours worked by C. Pinion and A. Farmer as eight hours each. The NPFC has adjusted the amount payable for personnel to \$688.00 from \$738.00 requested by the claimant, denying \$50.00.

Based on the NPFC's denial of \$1,821.00 for personnel charges, the NPFC determines that the OSLTF will pay \$36,710.89 as full compensation for the reimbursable removal costs incurred by the Claimant and submitted to the NPFC under claim# 909103-001.

C. *Determined Amount:*

The NPFC determines that the OSLTF will pay \$36,710.89 as full compensation for the reimbursable removal costs incurred by the Claimant and submitted to the NPFC under claim# 909103-001.

AMOUNT: \$36,710.89

Claim Supervisor: *Donna Hellberg*

Date of Supervisor's review: *10/15/09*

Supervisor Action: *Approved*

Supervisor's Comments:

U.S. Department of
Homeland Security

**United States
Coast Guard**



Director
United States Coast Guard
National Pollution Funds Center

US COAST GUARD 7100
4200 WILSON BLVD STE 1000
ARLINGTON VA 20598-7100
Staff Symbol: (CA)
Phone: 2 [REDACTED]
E-mail: [REDACTED]@uscg.mil
Fax: 202-493-6937

5890
10/15/2009

SENT VIA E-MAIL: [REDACTED]@usesgroup.com

United States Environmental Services, LLC
Attn: Dennis Schenck
365 Canal Street
Suite 2500
New Orleans, LA 70130

Re: Claim Number 909103-001

Dear Mr. Schenck:

The National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC), in accordance with the Oil Pollution Act (OPA) (33 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.), has determined that \$36,710.89 is full compensation for OPA claim number 909103-001.

This determination is based on an analysis of the information submitted. Please see the attached determination for further details regarding the rationale for this decision.

If you accept this determination, please sign the enclosed Acceptance/Release Form where indicated and return to the above address.

If we do not receive the signed original Acceptance/Release Form within 60 days of the date of this letter, the determination is void. If the determination is accepted, an original signature and a valid tax identification number (EIN or SSN) are required for payment. If you are a Claimant that has submitted other claims to the National Pollution Funds Center, you are required to have a valid Central Contractor Registration (CCR) record prior to payment. If you do not, you may register free of charge at www.ccr.gov. Your payment will be mailed or electronically deposited in your account within 60 days of receipt of the Release Form.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss the matter, you may contact me at the above address or by phone at 2 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Sincerely,

Felita Jackson
Claims Manager

ENCL: Claim Summary/Determination
Acceptance/Release Form

U.S. Department of
Homeland Security

**United States
Coast Guard**



Director
United States Coast Guard
National Pollution Funds Center

NPFC CA MS 7100
US COAST GUARD
4200 Wilson Blvd. Suite 1000
Arlington, VA 20598-7100
Staff Symbol: (CA)
Phone: [REDACTED]
E-mail: [REDACTED]@uscg.mil
Fax: 202-493-6937

Claim Number: 909103-001	Claimant Name: United States Environmental Services, LLC 365 Canal Street Suite 2500 New Orleans, LA 70130
--------------------------	---

I, the undersigned, ACCEPT the determination of \$36,710.89 as full compensation for the removal costs incurred.

This determination represents full and final release and satisfaction of all removal costs incurred under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2712(a)(4)), associated with the above referenced claim. This determination is not an admission of liability by any party. I hereby assign, transfer, and subrogate to the United States all rights, claims, interest and rights of action, that I may have against any party, person, firm or corporation that may be liable for the loss. I authorize the United States to sue, compromise or settle in my name and the United States fully substituted for me and subrogated to all of my rights arising from the incident. I warrant that no legal action has been brought regarding this matter and no settlement has been or will be made by me or any person on my behalf with any other party for costs which are the subject of the claim against the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (Fund).

I, the undersigned, agree that, upon acceptance of any compensation from the Fund, I will cooperate fully with the United States in any claim and/or action by the United States against any person or party to recover the compensation. The cooperation shall include, but is not limited to, immediately reimbursing the Fund any compensation received from any other source for the same claim, providing any documentation, evidence, testimony, and other support, as may be necessary for the United States to recover from any other person or party.

I, the undersigned, certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the information contained in this claim represents all material facts and is true. I understand that misrepresentation of facts is subject to prosecution under federal law (including, but not limited to 18 U.S.C. 287 and 1001).

_____	_____
Title of Person Signing	Date of Signature
_____	_____
Typed or Printed Name of Claimant or Name of Authorized Representative	Signature

_____	_____
Title of Witness	Date of Signature
_____	_____
Typed or Printed Name of Witness	Signature

_____	_____	_____
TIN Required for Payment	Bank Routing Number	Bank Account Number