

CLAIM SUMMARY / DETERMINATION FORM

Date	: 9/30/2009
Claim Number	: 909088-001
Claimant	: State of California Department of Fish and Game
Type of Claimant	: State
Type of Claim	: Removal Costs
Claim Manager	: Felita Jackson
Amount Requested	: \$10,193.09

FACTS:

1. Oil Spill Incident: On May 12, 2005, a diesel oil spill was discovered in a creek in the Piercy area of Northern Mendocino County, California. The creek in the Piercy area is an unnamed tributary to the Eel River. The Eel River is a navigable water of the United States. The spill was discovered when two wardens of the California Department of Fish and Game Office of Spill Prevention and Response (OSPR) were investigating to determine the source of the spill that had reached the creek, as well as the local water supply, as reported by a citizen caller. The wardens detected a visible sheen and odor of diesel fuel. They discovered that the discharge of diesel fuel was from a generator, an above ground tank system, and its fuel lines used to provide power for an indoor marijuana growing operation. It was determined that fifty gallons of diesel fuel was discharged.

2. Description of removal actions: At the incident site, the OSPR wardens monitored and assisted in the clean up and response executed by Ben's Trucking, and acted as an incident commander throughout the process. The OSPR wardens gathered water samples at the site and had a lab analysis performed.

3. The Claim: On April 30, 2009, the State of California submitted a removal cost claim in the amount of \$10,193.09 to the National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC) for costs that were incurred as a result of the oil spill.

The State of California is claiming personnel expenses of \$8,815.35, operating expenses of \$110.00, vehicle usage of \$817.74, and water pollution control lab work for \$450.00.

APPLICABLE LAW:

Under OPA 90, at 33 USC § 2702(a), responsible parties are liable for removal costs and damages resulting from the discharge of oil into navigable waters and adjoining shorelines, as described in Section 2702(b) of OPA 90. A responsible party's liability will include "removal costs incurred by any person for acts taken by the person which are consistent with the National Contingency Plan." 33 USC § 2702(b)(1)(B).

"Oil" is defined in relevant part, at 33 USC § 2701(23), to mean "oil of any kind or in any form, including petroleum, fuel oil, sludge, oil refuse, and oil mixed with wastes other than dredged spoil."

The Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (OSLTF), which is administered by the NPFC, is available, pursuant to 33 USC §§ 2712(a)(4) and 2713 and the OSLTF claims adjudication regulations at 33 CFR Part 136, to pay claims for uncompensated removal costs that are determined to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan and uncompensated damages. Removal costs are defined as “the costs of removal that are incurred after a discharge of oil has occurred or, in any case in which there is a substantial threat of a discharge of oil, the costs to prevent, minimize, or mitigate oil pollution from an incident.”

Under 33 USC §2713(b)(2) and 33 CFR 136.103(d) no claim against the OSLTF may be approved or certified for payment during the pendency of an action by the claimant in court to recover the same costs that are the subject of the claim. See also, 33 USC §2713(c) and 33 CFR 136.103(c)(2) [claimant election].

33 U.S.C. §2713(d) provides that “If a claim is presented in accordance with this section, including a claim for interim, short-term damages representing less than the full amount of damages to which the claimant ultimately may be entitled, and full and adequate compensation is unavailable, a claim for the uncompensated damages and removal costs may be presented to the Fund.”

Under 33 CFR 136.105(a) and 136.105(e)(6), the claimant bears the burden of providing to the NPFC, all evidence, information, and documentation deemed necessary by the Director, NPFC, to support the claim.

Under 33 CFR 136.105(b) each claim must be in writing, for a sum certain for each category of uncompensated damages or removal costs resulting from an incident. In addition, under 33 CFR 136, the claimant bears the burden to prove the removal actions were reasonable in response to the scope of the oil spill incident, and the NPFC has the authority and responsibility to perform a reasonableness determination. Specifically, under 33 CFR 136.203, “a claimant must establish -

- (a) That the actions taken were necessary to prevent, minimize, or mitigate the effects of the incident;
- (b) That the removal costs were incurred as a result of these actions;
- (c) That the actions taken were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC.”

Under 33 CFR 136.205 “the amount of compensation allowable is the total of uncompensated *reasonable* removal costs of actions taken that were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC. Except in exceptional circumstances, removal *activities* for which costs are being claimed must have been coordinated with the FOSC.” [Emphasis added].

DETERMINATION OF LOSS:

A. Overview:

1. The NPFC has determined that the actions undertaken by the claimant are deemed consistent with the National Contingency Plan (NCP). This determination is made in

accordance with the Delegation Authority for Determination of Consistency with NCP for the payment of uncompensated removal cost claims under section 1012(a)(4), Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

2. The incident involved the discharge of “oil” as defined in OPA 90, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(23), to navigable waters.
3. In accordance with 33 CFR § 136.105(e)(12), the claimant has certified no suit has been filed in court for the claimed uncompensated removal costs.
4. The claim was submitted on time.
5. The NPFC Claims Manager has thoroughly reviewed all documentation submitted with the claim and determined that the removal costs presented were for actions in accordance with the NCP and that costs for these actions were indeed reasonable and allowable under OPA and 33 CFR § 136.205 as set forth below.

B. Analysis:

The NPFC Claims Manager has reviewed the actual cost invoices and dailies to confirm that the claimant had incurred all costs claimed. The review focused on: (1) whether the actions taken were compensable “removal actions” under OPA and the claims regulations at 33 CFR 136 (e.g., actions to prevent, minimize, mitigate the effects of the incident); (2) whether the costs were incurred as a result of these actions; (3) whether the actions taken were determined by the FOOSC, and (4) whether the costs were adequately documented and reasonable.

The Claims Manager's review of the cost documentation reveals that timesheets were not provided for Warden Rusty Boccaleoni with regards to the billing of \$513.30 for May 2005 and the June 2005 billing of \$102.66, as noted on the cost summary. In the Claimant's July 13, 2009 response to NPFC for additional information, Kelly Abe confirmed that the timesheets for Warden Boccaleoni were not available and requested that the claim move forward without the charges for his time. Therefore, the total amount of costs denied is \$615.96.

Based on the NPFC's denial of \$615.96, the NPFC determines that the OSLTF will pay \$9,577.13 as full compensation for the reimbursable removal costs incurred by the Claimant and submitted to the NPFC under claim# 909088-001.

C. Determined Amount:

The NPFC determines that the OSLTF will pay \$9,577.13 as full compensation for the reimbursable removal costs incurred by the Claimant and submitted to the NPFC under claim# 909088-001. All costs claimed are for charges paid for by the Claimant for removal actions as that term is defined in OPA and, are compensable removal costs, payable by the OSLTF as presented by the Claimant.

AMOUNT: \$9,577.13

Claim Supervisor: *Donna Hellberg*

Date of Supervisor's review: *10/01/09*

Supervisor Action: *Approved*

U.S. Department of
Homeland Security

**United States
Coast Guard**



Director
United States Coast Guard
National Pollution Funds Center

US COAST GUARD STOP 7100
4200 WILSON BLVD STE 1000
ARLINGTON VA 20598-7100
Staff Symbol: (CA)
Phone: 2 [REDACTED]
E-mail: [REDACTED]n@uscg.mil
Fax: 202-493-6937

5890
10/01/2009

SENT VIA E-MAIL [REDACTED]@OSPR.DFG.CA.GOV

State of California Department of Fish and Game
ATTN: Mr. Stephen L. Edinger
1700 K Street, Suite 250
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Claim Number 909088-001

Dear Mr. Edinger:

The National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC), in accordance with the Oil Pollution Act (OPA) (33 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.), has determined that \$9,577.13 is full compensation for OPA claim number 909088-001.

This determination is based on an analysis of the information submitted. Please see the attached determination for further details regarding the rationale for this decision.

If you accept this determination, please sign the enclosed Acceptance/Release Form where indicated and return to the above address.

If we do not receive the signed original Acceptance/Release Form within 60 days of the date of this letter, the determination is void. If the determination is accepted, an original signature and a valid tax identification number (EIN or SSN) are required for payment. If you are a Claimant that has submitted other claims to the National Pollution Funds Center, you are required to have a valid Central Contractor Registration (CCR) record prior to payment. If you do not, you may register free of charge at www.ccr.gov. Your payment will be mailed or electronically deposited in your account within 60 days of receipt of the Release Form.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss the matter, you may contact me at the above address or by phone at [REDACTED]

Sincerely,

Felita Jackson
Claims Manager

ENCL: Claim Summary/ Determination
Acceptance/Release Form

U.S. Department of
Homeland Security

**United States
Coast Guard**



Director
United States Coast Guard
National Pollution Funds Center

US COAST GUARD STOP 7100
4200 WILSON BLVD STE 1000
ARLINGTON VA 20598-7100
Staff Symbol: (CA)
Phone: [REDACTED]
E-mail: [REDACTED]@uscg.mil
Fax: 202-493-6937

Claim Number: 909088-001	Claimant Name: State of California Department of Fish and Game ATTN: Mr. Stephen Edinger 1700 K Street, Suite 250 Sacramento, CA 95814
--------------------------	---

I, the undersigned, ACCEPT the determination of \$9,577.13 as full compensation for the removal costs incurred.

This determination represents full and final release and satisfaction of all removal costs claims under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2712(a)(4)), associated with the above referenced claim. This determination is not an admission of liability by any party. I hereby assign, transfer, and subrogate to the United States all rights, claims, interest and rights of action, that I may have against any party, person, firm or corporation that may be liable for the loss. I authorize the United States to sue, compromise or settle in my name and the United States fully substituted for me and subrogated to all of my rights arising from the incident. I warrant that no legal action has been brought regarding this matter and no settlement has been or will be made by me or any person on my behalf with any other party for costs which are the subject of the claim against the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (Fund).

I, the undersigned, agree that, upon acceptance of any compensation from the Fund, I will cooperate fully with the United States in any claim and/or action by the United States against any person or party to recover the compensation. The cooperation shall include, but is not limited to, immediately reimbursing the Fund any compensation received from any other source for the same claim, providing any documentation, evidence, testimony, and other support, as may be necessary for the United States to recover from any other person or party.

I, the undersigned, certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the information contained in this claim represents all material facts and is true. I understand that misrepresentation of facts is subject to prosecution under federal law (including, but not limited to 18 U.S.C. 287 and 1001).

_____	_____
Title of Person Signing	Date of Signature
_____	_____
Typed or Printed Name of Claimant or Name of Authorized Representative	Signature

_____	_____
Title of Witness	Date of Signature
_____	_____
Typed or Printed Name of Witness	Signature

_____	_____	_____
TIN Required for Payment	Bank Routing Number	Bank Account Number