CLAIM SUMMARY / DETERMINATION FORM

Date : 9/2/2010 Claim Number : N08057-0087

Claimant :

Type of Claimant : Private (US)

Type of Claim : Personal Property

Claim Manager :

Amount Requested : \$10,000.00

I. Facts

On the morning of July 23, 2008, the tank barge DM 932 sank as a result of a collision and discharged oil into the Mississippi River, a navigable waterway of the United States. Approximately 282,828 gallons oil were released into the Mississippi River and the resulting spill response, coordinated by the FOSC Unified Command, initially closed the river to vessel traffic and later, when reopened, managed traffic.

II. Responsible Party

American Commercial Lines LLC (ACL), the Responsible Party (RP), owned the barge at the time of the incident and is a responsible party under the Oil Pollution Act.

III. The Claimant and the Claim

The Law Offices of Pollution Funds Center (NPFC) for its (assumed) client, Ms. r. asserts that the claim of \$10,000.00 comes from fear, fright and an asthma attack to Ms. caused by fumes as a direct result of the DM 932 oil spill.

IV. APPLICABLE LAW

Under OPA 90, at 33 USC § 2702(a), responsible parties are liable for removal costs and damages resulting from the discharge of oil into navigable waters and adjoining shorelines, as described in Section 2702(b) of OPA 90. A responsible party's liability will include "removal costs incurred by any person for acts taken by the person which are consistent with the National Contingency Plan." 33 USC § 2702(b)(1)(B).

"Oil" is defined in relevant part, at 33 USC § 2701(23), to mean "oil of any kind or in any form, including petroleum, fuel oil, sludge, oil refuse, and oil mixed with wastes other than dredged spoil".

The Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (OSLTF), which is administered by the NPFC, is available, pursuant to 33 USC §§ 2712(a)(4) and 2713 and the OSLTF claims adjudication regulations at 33 CFR Part 136, to pay claims for uncompensated removal

¹ See House Subcommittee Hearing on DM 932 Oil Spill, dated 9/15/2008

costs that are determined to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan and uncompensated damages. Removal costs are defined as "the costs of removal that are incurred after a discharge of oil has occurred or, in any case in which there is a substantial threat of a discharge of oil, the costs to prevent, minimize, or mitigate oil pollution from an incident".

Under 33 USC §2713(b)(2) and 33 CFR 136.103(d) no claim against the OSLTF may be approved or certified for payment during the pendency of an action by the claimant in court to recover the same costs that are the subject of the claim. See also, 33 USC §2713(c) and 33 CFR 136.103(c)(2) [claimant election].

33 U.S.C. §2713(d) provides that "If a claim is presented in accordance with this section, including a claim for interim, short-term damages representing less than the full amount of damages to which the claimant ultimately may be entitled, and full and adequate compensation is unavailable, a claim for the uncompensated damages and removal costs may be presented to the Fund."

Under 33 CFR 136.105(a) and 136.105(e)(6), the claimant bears the burden of providing to the NPFC, all evidence, information, and documentation deemed necessary by the Director, NPFC, to support the claim.

Under 33 CFR 136.105(b) each claim must be in writing, for a sum certain for each category of uncompensated damages or removal costs resulting from an incident. In addition, under 33 CFR 136, the claimant bears the burden to prove the removal actions were reasonable in response to the scope of the oil spill incident, and the NPFC has the authority and responsibility to perform a reasonableness determination. Specifically, under 33 CFR 136.203, "a claimant must establish -

- (a) That the actions taken were necessary to prevent, minimize, or mitigate the effects of the incident;
- (b) That the removal costs were incurred as a result of these actions:
- (c) That the actions taken were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC."

Under 33 CFR 136.205 "the amount of compensation allowable is the total of uncompensated *reasonable* removal costs of actions taken that were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC. Except in exceptional circumstances, removal *activities* for which costs are being claimed must have been coordinated with the FOSC." [Emphasis added].

V. DETERMINATION OF LOSS:

A. Causation:

The Barge DM 932 oil spill did in fact release significant amounts of oil into and causing damage and injury to the Mississippi River, a natural resource of the United States. The USCG provided POLREPS to substantiate this fact.

В. Analysis: s claim for its client, Ms., is very problematic. First. asked for supporting documents by the NPFC to specify for what this claim sought compensation.² The law office did not provide this very simple answer. The claim form lists, "fear and fright," "asthma attack," sending Ms. to the hospital for two days, but did not confirm that the claim was for Personal/Bodily injury, which are not costs/damages covered under OPA. did not follow OPA requirements to first submit the claim to the named Also, Responsible Party, giving it 90 days to settle the claim before coming into the NPFC for uncompensated damages. The claims manager provided a link to the NPFC website that contained the OPA regulations and general claims requirements. Adding to this, was asked to provide a written statement from the claimant (either in an affidavit or in a written letter) that it had a right to represent Ms. in the first place.³ was asked to provide proof by its client from where the claim of "\$10,000.00" submitted no documentation either with the claim or when requested.⁴ The "Optional OSLTF Claim Form" submitted by simply states that all documentation is "to be supplemented." This did not happen, even after was given an extension of 10 days to provide documentation.⁶ Since the claimant has not proven, based on the preponderance of the evidence, that he suffered any damage or uncompensated costs, this claim has been denied. C. Determination: The NPFC hereby determines that the OSLTF will pay \$0.00 as full compensation for the claimed removal costs incurred by the Claimant and submitted to the NPFC under claim

VI. DETERMINED AMOUNT: \$0.00

Claim Supervisor:
Date of Supervisor's review:
Supervisor Action:
Supervisor's Comments:

 ² See email from , NPFC, to dated 8/03/2010
 ³ See email from , NPFC, to dated 8/03/2010
 ⁴ See email from , NPFC, to dated 8/03/2010
 ⁵ See claim submission, dated 7/30/2010
 ⁶ See email from , NPFC, to dated 8/18/2010