CLAIM SUMMARY / DETERMINATION FORM

Date : January 16, 2009 Claim Number : P06005-001

Claimant : Certain Underwriters at Lloyds of London as subrogee of Dann Marine

Towing

Type of Claimant : Corporate

Type of Claim : Affirmative Defense

Claim Manager : (b) (6)

Amount Requested : \$804,330.25

I. FACTS

At about 2:30 in the morning on November 28, 2005, the tug BARBARY COAST was pushing the tank barge PINEY POINT upriver at the Kingsland Reach section of the James River, Virginia. PINEY POINT carried a cargo of 1.26 million gallons of 270 degree heated asphalt.

The tug and barge missed the turn in the vicinity of James River Light Number 157, left the channel toward the right descending bank, allided with one or more fixed structures and grounded. Apparently the structures may have included remains of a former Federal navigation aid. As a result of these events the PINEY POINT's hull was breached and asphalt was discharged.

At the time of the incident PINEY POINT was owned by Vane Brothers, Incorporated. BARBARY COAST was owned and operated by Dann Marine Towing and piloted by (b) (6)

a mate and employee of Dann Marine Towing. Mr. (b) made a statement to the Coast Guard on the day of the incident explaining that he got too far over on the port side of the river while looking at the radar and when he looked up from the radar he was too far over to make the turn. Mr. (b) was eventually charged by the Coast Guard for negligent failure to maintain a proper lookout. Mr. (b) admitted to the jurisdictional and factual allegations and his license was suspended for three months with an additional three month suspension remitted on twelve months probation.

II. THE CLAIM

On November 24, 2008, the law firm Duane Morris LLP submitted a claim to the NPFC on behalf of its client, Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's of London. In the claim, designated Claim No. P06005-001 by the NPFC, Lloyd's Underwriters ("Underwriters") has come to the Fund as subrogee of its insured Dann Marine Towing, LC. Underwriters has respectfully requested that Dann Marine be fully exonerated from liability for the November 2005 oil spill incident on the James River. Underwriters is seeking reimbursement of removal costs and damages in excess of \$800,000, including removal costs of \$693,730.25, attorneys fees of \$60,000, and costs assessed by public authorities of \$52,600.

Underwriters provided pollution insurance to Dann Marine, the owner and operator of the tug BARBARY COAST. Under its policy, U.S. Vessel Pollution Insurance Policy No. 4868-03, Underwriters paid the costs to remove the asphalt as well as other costs associated with the spill. Underwriters became subrogated to the rights of Dann Marine under this policy.

We also note that the claimed amounts are the subject of current litigation between the claimant as plaintiff and the United States. We understand from claimant's letter of November 19, 2008 to the Honorable J. Frederick Motz, that the litigation may have been stayed pending this claim to the NPFC.

III. APPLICABLE LAW

The liability and compensation provisions of the OPA (33 USC §2701 et seq) govern the disposition of this claim.

"...each responsible party for a vessel or facility from which oil is discharged...is liable for the removal costs and damages...that result. OPA §1002(a)(33 USC §2702(a).

""liable" or "liability" shall be construed to be the standard of liability which obtains under section 311 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 USC 1321);" OPA §1001(17)(33 USC §1001(17)). The standard of liability under section 311 of the FWPCA has been determined repeatedly to be strict, joint and several. Conference Report, House Report No. 101-653 (August 1, 1990), p. 102.

In the case of a vessel, responsible party means "any person owning, operating or demise chartering the vessel." OPA §1001(32)(A)(33 USC §2701(32)(A).

""person" means an individual, corporation, partnership, association, State, municipality, commission, or political subdivision of a State, or any interstate body; OPA §1001(27)(33 USC §2701(27)).

The Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund is "available...for...the payment of claims in accordance with section 1013 for uncompensated removal costs...or uncompensated damages;" OPA §1012(a)(4)(33 USC §2712(a)(4).

Claims may be presented first to the Fund "by a responsible party who may assert a claim under section 1008;" OPA §1013(b)(1)(B)(33 USC §2713(b)(1)(B).

"The responsible party for a vessel or facility from which oil is discharged, or which poses the substantial threat of a discharge of oil, may assert a claim for removal costs and damages under section 1013 only if the responsible party demonstrates that —

- (1) the responsible party is entitled to a defense to liability under section 1003; or
- (2) The responsible party is entitled to a limitation of liability under section 1004." OPA §1008(a)(33 U.S.C. §2708(a))

"A responsible party is not liable for removal costs or damages under section 1002 if the responsible party establishes, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the discharge or substantial threat of a discharge of oil and the resulting damages or removal costs were caused solely by-...

- (3) an act or omission of a third party, other than an employee or agent of the responsible party or a third party whose act or omission occurs in connection with any contractual relationship with the responsible party..., if the responsible party establishes, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the responsible party-
 - (A) exercised due care with respect to the oil concerned, ... in light of all relevant facts and circumstances; and

2005. As a result of Mr. (b) (actions, the vessel left the channel and struck one or more submerged structures. Mr. (b) was eventually charged with negligence by the Coast Guard to which Mr. (b) admitted to the charge. Mr. (b) s license as suspended for three months with an addition three months remitted on twelve months probation. In light of these uncontroverted facts, Underwriters has failed to meet its burden to show that the incident was caused solely by an act of a third party, other than an employee or agent of the responsible party or a third party whose act or omission occurs in connection with any contractual relationship with the responsible party. Although the evidence indicates negligence in this case, even the nonnegligent operation of the barge outside the channel would not prevent the actions of the responsible parties from being a contributing cause under OPA, which is a strict liability statute.

There is very limited case law interpreting the OPA third party defense and no case law interpreting the sole causation prong of the defense. But there is a substantial body of case law interpreting a similar defense to liability for oil discharges under the FWPCA, OPA's predecessor liability statute. See U.S. v West of England Ship Owner's Mutual Protection & Indemnity Association, 872 F.2d 1192 (5th Circuit 1989)(The court considered application of the sole cause third party defense under the FWPCA, and determined that where the discharging vessel struck a submerged vessel outside the channel, vessel owner could not establish the defense, because the owner's decision to navigate the vessel outside the channel was a contributing case of the discharge and the sole causation element of the defense could not be established); See also Reliance Insurance Company v. U.S., 677 F.2d 844 (Ct. Cl. 1982)(Any conduct on the part of the owner or operator contributing to a spill would negate relief and owners dredging was a cause of the spill).

OPA additionally requires a responsible party to prove that it exercised due care with respect to the oil and took precautions against the foreseeable acts of third parties to successfully assert a third party defense. 33 U.S.C. § 2703(a)(3)(A) and (B). Given its cargo of a 1.26 million gallons of 270 degree asphalt and the increased risk of a collision with submerged objects as the vessel navigated outside the channel, the NPFC finds that Underwriters has failed to satisfy its burden to prove that its insured exercised due care with respect to the oil.

Finally, the NPFC finds that granting a third party defense under the facts of this case would be inconsistent with the statutory requirements of OPA and Congress' intent to impose strict liability upon the spillers of oil. One of principle purposes Congress intended to achieve with OPA was to expand polluter liability by imposing strict liability for clean-up costs and damages. See Apex Oil Company v. United States of America, 208. F. Supp. 2d 642 (E.D. La. 2002). The defenses to liability under the Act are very limited and the courts have interpreted the defenses extremely narrowly. OPA does not shift the responsibility for this spill from the polluter to the Fund when the responsible party was in the best position to exercise and take the necessary precautions to avert a spill but failed to do so.

VII. CONCLUSION

The NPFC finds that the claimant did not meet its burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the responsible party Dann Marine is entitled to a defense to liability on the basis of a sole third party defense. The responsible party Dann Marine, to which the claimant is a subrogee, failed to maintain proper watch and as a result, its operation of the tug and barge outside the channel was a contributing cause of the incident. The PINEY POINT struck the submerged fixed object while transiting outside the boundaries of the navigation channel, in an area of charted shallows and charted obstructions. Moreover, Mr. (b) an employee of the Dann Marine, admitted to negligent operation of the vessels. Underwriters, therefore, has not

established that the incident was solely caused by the actions of a third party other than an employee or agent of the responsible party. Additionally, the NPFC finds that Underwriters has failed to satisfy its burden to prove that its insured exercised due care with respect to the oil. Therefore, based on the record before it, the NPFC finds that Dann Marine is not entitled to a defense to liability for the spill.

VIII. DETERMINATION

Underwriters' request that its insured Dann Marine be fully exonerated from liability under OPA is denied and, on that basis, the Underwriters' claim for reimbursement of its removal costs of \$804,330.25 is also denied.

Claim Supervisor: Thomas Morrison

Date of Supervisor's review: 1/21/09

Supervisor Action: nana Allrony

Supervisor's Comments:



Commander U. S. Coast Guard Sector Hampton Roads Frevention Department 200 Granby Street Norfolk, VA 23510-1888 Staff Symbol: inv Phone: (757) 668-5540 FAX: (757) 668-5549

WITNESS STATEMENT FORM

Please Print Cle	arly:		
Witness Name: Street Address: City/State/Zip: Phone No: Position:	(b) (6)	Employer Name: Employer Address: City/State/Zip: Phone No: License/Doc. #	
I, the undersing reward:	gned, make the following	statement voluntarily, without	threat, duress or promise of
While	agetrud man	By YS7 At Thing	en Ruch 3 gat
at Raise to make	on and When a	The of the Ri Ruf yo 9 Was Rose Ho	To Fair Secu
2 must	How Such a	ra and Herry	Ehen I. Bhuh A Hans M
Cest The Exterior	L Lyla. 5	on not making	the on on
I have read the	e above statement, and to	the best of my knowledge and	belief, it is true and correct
(b) (6)	1		11-28.05
Prepared by: Witnessed by:	OF WITNESS	Post 1 of	DATE
		Page 1 of	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	REPORT OF MARINE ACCIDENT, RCS No. G-MMI 2115						
11, S 7) AST GUARD CG-4692 (Rev. 6-87)	INJURY OR DEATH			UNIT CASE NUMBER			
SECTION I. GENERAL INFORMATION							
Name of Vessel or Facility ,	2. Official No.	3. Nationality	4. Call Siç				
BARBARY COAST	565070	u-s.	wew i	013/7 issued at:			
Type (Towing, Freight, Fish, Drill, etc.) 7. Land 16WING 45.		9. Year Built 1975	10. Propuls	sion (Steam, diesel, gas, turbine)			
11. Hull Material (Steel. 12. Draft (ft in.)		sed, By Whom: (ABS, LLOYDS,		of occurrence) 15. TIME Local)			
Stell 8'6" 9"	T, DNV , BV , etc.)	11.2	8-05 0245			
16. Location (See Instruction No. 10A) JAM E	\$ P11/5 p	410-		ted Loss or Damage TO:			
18. Name, Address & Telephone No. of Operating Co. VESSEL \$							
18. Name, Address & Telephone No. of Operating C	SWINDIAC		VE336	<u> </u>			
PO. BOY 250 -CHESARE,	AKK CITY, M!	0. 21915	CARGO	O \$			
410-883-5055	•		OTHER	s			
	G Ligense	20. Name of Pilot	US	SCG License State License			
(b) (6)	YES 🗆 NO			☐ YES ☐ YES ☐ NO			
	Telephone Number	20a, Street Address (City, 3	State, Zip Con	(c) 20b. Telephone Number			
(0) (0)	(6)			()			
21. Casualty Elements (Check as many as needed and explain in Black 44.)							
NO. OF PERSONS ON BOARD	☐ FLOODING; SWAM	PING WITHOUT SINKING		TING OR EMERGENCY EQUIPMENT			
☐ DEATH- HOW MANY?	CAPSIZING (with or	"		R INADEQUATE in Block 44.)			
☐ MISSING- HOW MANY?	FOUNDERING OR S		. '	NG EQUIPMENT FAILED OR			
INJURED- HOW MANY?	☐ HEAVY WEATHER	\ _	_	ATE (Describe in Black 44.)			
☐ HAZAROOUS MATERIAL RELEASED OR INVOLVED	EXPLOSION	1 _	_)T (Petraleum exploration/production)			
(Identify Substance and amount in Block 44.)	COMMERCIAL DIVI			NVOLVEMENT in Block 44.)			
OIL SPILL-ESTIMATE AMOUNT:	☐ ICE DAMAGE	7		VOLVEMENT			
1000	DAMAGE TO AIDS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(<i>Describe</i>) OTHER (S	in Block 44.)			
CARGO CONTAINER LOST/DAMAGED	STEERING FAILURI	-) CINEN D	фесцу)			
COLLISON (Identify other vessel or object in Block 44.)	☐ MACHINERY OF E						
GROUNDING WAKE DAMAGE	STRUCTURAL FAIL						
22. Conditions				(miles) / MILE			
B. WEATHER		-	DiSTANCE (of visibility)				
& CLEAR	☐ DAYLIGHT ☐ TWILIGHT	Ø GOOD □ FAIR		RATURE <u>54</u>			
A. Sea or River	S NIGHT	E 2008	(F)				
(wave height.	<u> </u>	G.	WIND SPE				
□ OTHER (Specif	ſυ)	н.	CURRENT	coren a			
			DIRECTION				
23. Navigation Information	SPEED 5-3		- M(D)	24a. Time and Date of Departure / 3			
☐ MOORED, DOCKED OR FIXED ☐ ANCHORED NO UNDERWAY OR DRIFT!	AND NG COURSE	Where Bound P/C	4 MON ,	VA. 126-05			
25. 25a	25b. 1400	25c.	25d. (Desc	ribe in Block 44.)			
FOR NUMBER Loaded	Total	Length Width	<u>"</u> 🖫 PUSH	IING AHEAD			
TOWING OF	H.P. OF	SIZE OF TOW 312 65		NG ASTERN			
ONLY VESSELS /) TOWING UNITS	WITH TOW. 3/3 65		NG ALONGSIDE			
			U MORI	THAN ONE TOW-BOAT ON TOW			
	ON II. BARGE INFOR		26d. Gros	26e. USCG Certificate of Inspection Issued at:			
	ficial Number 4894	26b. Type 26c. Length 人てのなんげ ステロ	R 16	is tons			
26f, Year Built 26g. 26h, Dr	aft	26i. Operating Company		<u> </u>			
JAN. 197 SINGLE SKIN FV	VD AFT	VANE RO	Ror				
26j. Damage Amount		amage to Barge					
BARGE \$							
CARGO \$ HOLE, 'N BON RAKE + 1- CORGO TANK							
OTHER \$	1 7,7	· h want July	7	SN 7530-00-FO1-17			

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED