



Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund Disbursements for FY 2011

2012 Report to Congress
December 4, 2012



Homeland
Security

United States Coast Guard

Foreword

I am pleased to present the following report, “Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund Disbursements for FY 2011,” which has been prepared by the U.S. Coast Guard.

The report is compiled in response to the language set forth in Section 708 of the *Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010* (P.L. 111-281), which requests a list of disbursements of \$250,000 or more from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (OSLTF) and a description of how such funds were used. This report contains disbursement data for Fiscal Year (FY) 2011.



Pursuant to congressional requirements, this report is being provided to the following members of Congress:

The Honorable John L. Mica
Chairman, House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee

The Honorable Nick J. Rahall II
Ranking Member, House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee

The Honorable John D. Rockefeller IV
Chairman, Senate Commerce, Science and Transportation Committee

The Honorable Kay Bailey Hutchinson
Ranking Member, Senate Commerce, Science and Transportation Committee

I appreciate your interest in the Department of Homeland Security, and I look forward to working with you on future homeland security, maritime safety, and stewardship issues. I am happy to answer any further questions you may have, or your staff may contact my Senate Liaison Office at (202) 224-2913 or House Liaison Office at (202) 225-4775.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "R. J. Papp Jr.", written over a faint circular stamp.

R. J. PAPP JR.
Admiral, U. S. Coast Guard
Commandant



Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund Disbursements For FY 2011

Table of Contents

I. Legislative Language.....	1
II. Introduction.....	2
III. Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund Disbursements for Pollution Incidents	3
IV. Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Expenditures	6
V. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement Expenditures.....	7
VI. Environmental Protection Agency Expenditures.....	7
VII. U.S. Coast Guard Expenditures.....	8

I. Legislative Language

This report responds to the language set forth in section 708 the *Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010* (P.L. 111-281), which requests a list of disbursements of \$250,000 or more from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (OSLTF) and a description of how such funds were used per the following:

SEC. 708. USE OF OIL SPILL LIABILITY TRUST FUND.

(b) AUDITS; ANNUAL REPORTS.—Section 1012 of the *Oil Pollution Act of 1990* (33 U.S.C. 2712) is amended—

...

(2) by adding at the end thereof the following:

__(l) REPORTS.—

__(1) IN GENERAL. —Within one year after the date of enactment of the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010, and annually thereafter, the President, through the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating, shall –

__(A) provide a report on disbursements for the preceding fiscal year from the Fund, regardless of whether those disbursements were subject to annual appropriations, to –

__(i) the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation; and

__(ii) the House of Representatives Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure; and

__(B) make the report available to the public on the National Pollution Funds Center Internet website.

__(2) CONTENTS.—The report shall include—

__(A) a list of each disbursement of \$250,000 or more from the Fund during the preceding fiscal year; and

__(B) a description of how each such use meets the requirements of subsection (a).

__(3) AGENCY RECORDKEEPING.—Each Federal agency that receives amounts from the Fund shall maintain records describing the purpose for which such funds were obligated or expended in such detail as the Secretary may require for purposes of the report required under paragraph (1).

II. Introduction

This report is the second submission of disbursements from the OSLTF. The report addresses disbursements from the OSLTF of \$250,000 or more during Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 and how each use meets the requirements of Section 1012(a) of the *Oil Pollution Act of 1990* (OPA). In addition to the pollution incident specific disbursements reported by the Coast Guard's National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC), the report includes data received from the Department of Transportation, the Department of the Interior (DOI), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG), as each received appropriations derived from the OSLTF.

The OSLTF is the federal funding source for oil pollution prevention, response and compensation under OPA. The OSLTF is also a source of annual appropriations to federal agencies responsible for implementing, administering and enforcing various oil pollution prevention programs under OPA and section 311 of the *Federal Water Pollution Control Act* (FWPCA).

As each reporting agency's accounting structure differs, the supporting tables in each section are not uniform, reflecting the differences. Nonetheless, each agency that provided this information affirms that it provided accurate information. The specific tables included in the following sections are as reported by the respective agencies.

III. OSLTF Disbursements for Pollution Incidents

- a. Oil Removal Disbursements – OPA section 1012(a)(1)(A) provides for use of the Fund for the payment of oil removal costs by federal authorities. OPA section 6002(b) makes up to \$50 million available annually to carry out federal oil removal under section 311(c) of the FWPCA and to initiate the assessment of natural resource damages. Amounts are available until expended and are administered by the NPFC. NPFC provides funding to EPA and USCG Federal On-Scene Coordinators (FOSCs) acting under FWPCA section 311(c) authority. FOSCs coordinate removal actions through contracts with commercial entities and interagency agreements with federal and state response partners. The table below includes all OSLTF removal expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011. To avoid the double counting of removal expenditures, the figures reported below are not included in the data provided by the recipient agencies. The report continues to be dominated by over \$141 million in expenditures on the Deepwater Horizon incident, the largest spill in NPFC history.

Table 1: Oil Removal Disbursements for FY 2011

Case Name - Federal Project Number	Recipient of the Funds	Amount of Expenditure	Description of Funds Use
N. CAL TSUNAMI - A11024	NRC ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INCORPORATED Total	\$ 1,119,009.08	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
N. CAL TSUNAMI - A11024	GLOBAL DIVING AND SALVAGE INCORPORATED Total	\$ 1,276,239.19	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
ADOBE CREEK - E09902	USDA FOREST SERVICE R5 FM Total	\$ 600,532.44	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DUCHESNE OIL FILED DU - E10814	COUNTY OF DUCHESNE Total	\$ 445,857.10	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
M/V PRINCESS KATHLEEN - J10010	FOSS MARITIME COMPANY (59023) Total	\$ 361,997.85	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
UNKNOWN - N10003	WILD WELL CONTROL INCORPORATED Total	\$ 1,346,709.50	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
Derelict Barge DIAIA - N10015	OIL MOP LLC Total	\$ 326,255.33	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	ST NET APPTIS FIRTSOURCE JOINT VENTURE Total	\$ 280,437.76	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT SERVICES INTL INCORPORATED Total	\$ 3,265,893.96	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	ERA HELICOPTERS LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY Total	\$ 3,465,460.00	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	DETYENS SHIPYARDS INCORPORATED Total	\$ 381,149.00	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	BOLLINGER SHIPYARDS LOCKPORT L L C Total	\$ 1,196,814.00	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	INTERNATIONAL SHIP REPAIR AND MARINE SERVICES INCORPORATED Total	\$ 4,589,026.02	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND (0000) Total	\$ 19,480,374.93	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU (0000) Total	\$ 14,057,051.56	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD Total	\$ 3,120,086.57	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	ARMY AIR NATIONAL GUARD Total	\$ 1,321,694.56	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	U.S. TRANSPORTATION COMMAND - AIR MOBILITY COMMAND Total	\$ 1,720,000.00	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)

Table 1: Oil Removal Disbursements for FY 2011 (cont.)

Case Name - Federal Project Number	Recipient of the Funds	Amount of Expenditure	Description of Funds Use
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR ACQ SERVICES Total	\$ 1,497,881.63	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER - AIRCRAFT DIVISION Total	\$ 484,955.80	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU FINANCIAL MGMT Total	\$ 252,514.80	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Total	\$ 1,435,732.05	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY - NNSA Total	\$ 1,715,408.07	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	COMMANDER US NAVY INSTALLATIONS COMMAND Total	\$ 2,558,573.86	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY Total	\$ 3,049,535.23	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (ERRB) Total	\$ 10,995,000.79	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	DEPARTMENT OF ENVIROMENTAL QUALITY MISSISSIPPI Total	\$ 1,744,515.30	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (ERRB) Total	\$ 250,000.00	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR - FWS Total	\$ 4,691,947.16	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	NATIONAL OCEANIC ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION Total	\$ 21,027,588.21	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY - REGION 6 Total	\$ 24,375,094.38	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR - NPS Total	\$ 3,861,525.91	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	STATE POLICE LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF (4657) Total	\$ 3,376,148.82	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH Total	\$ 309,064.00	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	STATE POLICE LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF (4657) Total	\$ 292,812.64	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES - NIEHS Total	\$ 351,731.20	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY - HQ Total	\$ 3,581,537.15	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY Total	\$ 2,219,073.76	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY Total	\$ 589,665.00	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
CEDYCO Well Head-N10050	ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY AND HEALTH CONSULTING SERVICES INCORPORATED Total	\$ 8,979,087.85	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
CEDYCO Well Head-N10050	OIL MOP LLC Total	\$ 751,052.07	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
CEDYCO Well Head-N10050	WILD WELL CONTROL INCORPORATED Total	\$ 5,402,601.13	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
MARIO ARTURO II - N11010	LAREDO CONSTRUCTI INCORPORATED Total	\$ 352,592.71	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
Mystery - N11041	OIL MOP LLC Total	\$ 447,675.05	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
Mystery - N11041	ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY AND HEALTH CONSULTING SERVICES INCORPORATED Total	\$ 288,191.60	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DAVY CROCKETT - S11010	MARINE HOLDINGS COMPANY I INCORPORATED Total	\$ 14,617,914.51	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
DAVY CROCKETT - S11010	NRC ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INCORPORATED Total	\$ 1,145,662.51	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
EPA FOISC Inland Zone Cases	EPA reimbursement for EPA FOISC managed cases Total	\$ 50,125,834.75	Removal Cost - OPA 1012 (a)(1)
	Grand Total	\$ 229,125,506.79	

- b. Claims Payments – Section 1012(a)(4) of OPA provides that funds shall be available from the OSLTF for the payment of claims in accordance with OPA section 1013 for uncompensated removal costs or uncompensated damages. The table below includes all OSLTF claims expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011.

Table 2: OSLTF claims expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011

Claim Name - Federal Project Number	Recipient of the Funds	Amount of Expenditure	Description of Fund Use
DEEPWATER HORIZON - N10036	Department of Interior	\$ 1,455,464.00	Claim payment - OPA 1012(a)(4)
T/V ATHOS I - P05005	Department of Interior	\$ 10,178,189.90	Claim payment - OPA 1012(a)(4)
T/V ATHOS I - P05005	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection	\$ 20,257,121.40	Claim payment - OPA 1012(a)(4)
DUBAI STAR - A10005	NRC ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INCORPORATED	\$ 675,229.32	Claim payment - OPA 1012(a)(4)
Mystery - E07635	TRAVELERS CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY OF AMERICA	\$ 695,910.59	Claim payment - OPA 1012(a)(4)
DM932 - N08057	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY	\$ 295,238.50	Claim payment - OPA 1012(a)(4)
DM932 - N08057	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES L L C	\$ 251,565.59	Claim payment - OPA 1012(a)(4)
DM932 - N08057	PACIFIC BASIN SHIPPING(HK) LIMITED	\$ 1,029,093.87	Claim payment - OPA 1012(a)(4)
T/V ATHOS I - P05005	VALERO REFINING COMPANY NEW JERSEY	\$ 253,711.88	Claim payment - OPA 1012(a)(4)
T/V ATHOS I - P05005	PREMCOR REFINING GROUP INCORPORATED THE	\$ 589,603.56	Claim payment - OPA 1012(a)(4)
	Grand Total	\$ 35,681,128.61	

- c. Initiate Payments - NPFC also provides funding from these available amounts as authorized by OPA 1012(a)(2) to federal, state or tribal trustees that initiate natural resource damage assessments. There are no disbursements of \$250,000 or more, for initiation of natural resource damage assessments, to report for FY 2011.

IV. Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Expenditures

In FY 2011 Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) received \$18,905,000 from the OSLTF, as authorized by section 1012(a)(5) of OPA. The table below includes all OSLTF expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011.

Table 3: PHMSA OSLTF expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011

Recipient of Funds	Amount of Expenditure	Description of Fund Use
California State Fire Marshal	\$763,710.00	For reimbursement to pipeline safety state partners for carrying out inspection and enforcement activities
Cycla Corporation	\$741,369.20	To support integration for inspection, strategy, performance management, enforcement, operator qualifications and other statutory issues
Cycla Corporation	\$434,005.00	To support integration for inspection, strategy, performance management, enforcement, operator qualifications and other statutory issues
Cycla Corporation	\$390,953.80	To support integration for inspection, strategy, performance management, enforcement, operator qualifications and other statutory issues
Cycla Corporation	\$367,887.08	To support integration for inspection, strategy, performance management, enforcement, operator qualifications and other statutory issues
Cycla Corporation	\$363,059.76	To support integration for inspection, strategy, performance management, enforcement, operator qualifications and other statutory issues
Cycla Corporation	\$346,588.78	To support integration for inspection, strategy, performance management, enforcement, operator qualifications and other statutory issues
Department of Transportation, Research and Innovative Technology Administration, Volpe Transportation Systems Center	\$414,626.00	To support integration for inspection, strategy, performance management, enforcement, operator qualifications and other statutory issues
Pipeline Research Council International, Inc.	\$349,224.00	To support integration for inspection, strategy, performance management, enforcement, operator qualifications and other statutory issues
Cycla Corporation	\$293,947.54	To support integration for inspection, strategy, performance management, enforcement, operator qualifications and other statutory issues
Department of Transportation, Research and Innovative Technology Administration, Volpe Transportation Systems Center	\$285,374.00	To support integration for inspection, strategy, performance management, enforcement, operator qualifications and other statutory issues
Cycla Corporation	\$274,000.00	To support integration for inspection, strategy, performance management, enforcement, operator qualifications and other statutory issues
Texas Railroad Commission	\$268,092.00	For reimbursement to pipeline safety state partners for carrying out inspection and enforcement activities
Cycla Corporation	\$258,630.80	
Total	\$5,551,467.96	

V. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement Expenditures

In FY 2011 the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement (BOEMRE) received \$11,744,464 from the OSLTF, as authorized by section 1012(a)(5) of OPA. The table below includes all OSLTF expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011. During FY 2011, BOEMRE reorganized and eventually two independent entities were created: the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) and the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE). Future submissions of this annual report will reflect BSEE's expenditures.

Table 4: BOEMRE OSLTF expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011

Recipient of Funds	Amount of Expenditure	Description
Ohmsett ¹ - Office of Offshore Regulatory Program	\$2,678,146.40	The OSLTF disbursements to BOEMRE for FY 2011 meet the requirements authorized under section 1012(a)(5) of the Oil Pollution Act (OPA) of 1990 (33 USC 2712(a)(5)). The use of the funds under section 1012 (a)(5) are for Federal administrative, operations, and personnel costs and expenses reasonably necessary for and incidental to the implementation, administration, and enforcement of OPA. BOEMRE's use of OSLTF includes Oil Pollution Research, operation and maintenance of Ohmsett, regulation and enforcement of oil spill response plans and financial responsibility in the Outer Continental Shelf, and personnel costs.
Environmental Studies	\$1,033,758.00	
Office of Offshore Regulatory Program FTE - Oversight	\$412,743.00	
Financial Responsibility - Gulf of Mexico OCS Region	\$263,913.78	
Gulf of Mexico OCS Region	\$1,032,818.30	
TOTAL	\$5,421,379.48	
Note 1 - Ohmsett, the National Oil Spill Response Research and renewable Energy Test Facility in Leonardo, NJ		

VI. Environmental Protection Agency

In FY 2011, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) received \$18,379,000 from the OSLTF. EPA had no OSLTF expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 to report for FY 2011.

VII. U.S. Coast Guard Expenditures

The Coast Guard receives funding derived from the OSLTF in three different appropriations: Research, Design, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E); Acquisition, Construction and Improvement (AC&I); and Operating Expenses (OE).

- a. RDT&E - \$500,000 in RDT&E funds were derived from the OSLTF to be executed in accordance with section 7001(c) of OPA. For FY 2011, there were no disbursements greater than or equal to \$250,000 from the RDT&E appropriation.
- b. AC&I – \$20,000,000 in AC&I funds were disbursed from the OSLTF for the costs of assets for administering and enforcing OPA and subsections (b), (c), (d), (j) and (l) of section 311 of the FWPCA with respect to prevention, removal, and enforcement activities related to oil discharges. The OSLTF funding augments the full appropriation and was not intended to completely fund the Marine and Environmental Protection (MEP) mission. An allocation methodology was used to determine the amount of the FY 2011 AC&I funds that were used pursuant to the provisions of OPA. MEP activities are the category of Coast Guard operations that directly support OPA. The table below includes an allocation of OSLTF expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011.

Table 5: Coast Guard AC&I Expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011

Recipient of the Funds	Transaction Type	Amount of Expenditure	Description of Fund Use
Vessels	Response Boat Medium (RBM)	\$287,400	Maritime Pollution Enforcement and Response – CG received 33 RBM's, thereby improving the CG's ability to minimize damage caused by oil released into navigable waters, and to overcome or reduce threats to the marine environment caused by potential spills of oil.
Integrated Deepwater System	Maritime Patrol Aircraft (MPA)	\$6,632,700	Maritime Pollution Enforcement and Response – In conjunction with visual surveillance, the HC-144A C4ISR suite can be employed to monitor and map oil-covered water surfaces. The suite can detect differences in backscatter between water and oil covered water.
Integrated Deepwater System	HH-60 Conversion Projects	\$1,121,800	Maritime Pollution Enforcement and Response – Visual surveillance and the C4ISR suite on the HH-60J will be employed to monitor and map oil-covered water surfaces. The suite can detect differences in backscatter between water and oil-covered water.

Table 5: Coast Guard AC&I Expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011 (Cont.)

Recipient of the Funds	Transaction Type	Amount of Expenditure	Description of Fund Use
Integrated Deepwater System	HHC-130 Conversion/Sustainment Projects	\$313,400	Maritime Pollution Enforcement and Response – Visual surveillance and the side-looking radar on the HC-130H are employed to monitor and map oil-covered water surfaces. The suite can detect differences in backscatter between water and oil-covered water due to the dampening effect of oil on small wind generated waves, while the Electro-optical/Infra-Red sensor can detect the small temperature variations between clear and oil-covered water.
Integrated Deepwater System	Government Program Management	\$1,451,100	The payment of Federal administrative and personnel costs and expenses reasonably necessary for and incidental to the implementation, administration, and enforcement of the act.
Integrated Deepwater System	C4ISR	\$984,000	Maritime Pollution Enforcement and Response - C4ISR is the eyes, ears, and cognitive recognition system allowing the Coast Guard to see, hear, comprehend and communicate what is happening in maritime regions. This is accomplished using modern electronic components, such as interoperable radio and satellite communication systems and improved optical/radar sensors, that can improve sightings in total darkness and detect maritime targets in all weather conditions while CG assets are supporting Marine and Environmental Protection missions.
Integrated Deepwater System	Systems Engineering and Integration	\$934,100	Maritime Pollution Enforcement and Response - Systems Engineering and Integration (SE&I) is essential for interoperability at the unit, system and organizational levels, both internal and external to the Coast Guard. The Coast Guard is fielding an integrated system of aviation, cutter, and shoreside assets that are interoperable through a comprehensive C4ISR detection and communication structure. Without SE&I, these assets and subsystems would be implemented in an inefficient and ineffective manner.
Integrated Deepwater System	Logistics	\$1,612,800	The payment of Federal administrative and personnel costs and expenses reasonably necessary for and incidental to the implementation, administration, and enforcement of the act.

Table 5: Coast Guard AC&I Expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011 (Cont.)

Recipient of the Funds	Transaction Type	Amount of Expenditure	Description of Fund Use
Other Equipment	Rescue 21 (NDRSMP)	\$2,117,800	Maritime Pollution Response - Communications: Eliminates many existing communication coverage gaps ("dead zones") while ensuring continuous, enhanced VHF-FM marine radio coverage out to 20 nautical miles from shore within the coastal zone. Features digital voice recording capability with immediate playback, improving the ability to review and resolve garbled or unclear transmissions. Enhances Maritime Domain Awareness by maintaining communications with mariners, and facilitates the timely flow of information between the Coast Guard and other government agencies.
Shore Facilities & Aids-to-Navigation (ATON)	Renovate Chase Hall Phase III – Coast Guard Academy (CGA)	\$1,199,600	This project continues prior efforts to renovate and improve the Chase Hall Barrack by improving habitability and bringing them into compliance with fire and life-safety standards. Chase Hall has significant life-safety issues that must be addressed. In addition, this comprehensive renovation enhances enrollment efforts, modernizes the campus and ensures the Academy remains competitive with other institutions of higher learning. This phase will specifically renovate Annex B. The projects supported by this request contribute to the long range plans and support of operational units while conducting Marine and Environmental Protection mission(s).
Shore Facilities & ATON	Survey & Design	\$285,400	Funding will provide in-house capability for planning and engineering studies, project design, master plans, appraisals, real property requirements and other architectural/engineering efforts. Completing proper planning and design helps to ensure AC&I projects are properly assessed, planned, and prioritized prior to seeking project appropriations. The projects supported by this request contribute to the long range plans and support of operational units while conducting Marine and Environmental Protection mission(s).
Shore Facilities & ATON	Newport, RI Pier	\$461,100	This funding will rehabilitate an existing pier face to provide over 800+ linear feet of moorings for the Coast Guard Cutters JUNIPER, WILLOW and IDA LEWIS. In addition to the waterfront improvements, this project will create the necessary pierside support facilities including: parking lot; buoy lay-down area; office; maintenance storage; and fencing. The project supported by this request contributes to the long range plans and support of operational units while conducting Marine and Environmental Protection mission(s).

Table 5: Coast Guard AC&I Expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011 (Cont.)

Recipient of the Funds	Transaction Type	Amount of Expenditure	Description of Fund Use
Shore Facilities & ATON	Renovate Thrun Hall Phase II-CGA	\$686,600	This project will complete the replacement of the Unaccompanied Personnel Housing (UPH) at Support Center Elizabeth City, NC. This UPH is functionally obsolete; is in poor condition, beyond economic rehabilitation; and is located within the air station's runway "object free zone". Built in 1966 as a 500 person barracks, Thrun Hall does not meet current life-safety codes and berthing standards. Further, the co-located Coast Guard Aviation Technical Training Center (ATTC) training mission is currently limited by the capacity of the Thrun Hall barracks. The project will construct a new UPH building of adequate capacity in a more suitable location within the ATTC portion of the Elizabeth City complex. This phase of the project will provide facilities for the Electronics Support Detachment (ESD) and Personnel Support that were located in the existing UPH and will demolish existing facilities. The projects supported by this request contribute to the long range plans and support of operational units while conducting Marine and Environmental Protection mission(s).
Personnel & Related Support	Direct Personnel	\$1,445,100	The payment of Federal administrative and personnel costs and expenses reasonably necessary for and incidental to the implementation, administration, and enforcement of the act.
Total		\$19,532,900	

- c. OE - The Coast Guard Operating Expenses Appropriation received \$24,500,000 from the OSLTF in FY 2011. The funds were used for administrative, operational, and personnel costs and expenses of administering and enforcing OPA and subsections (b), (c), (d), (j) and (l) of section 311 of the FWPCA with respect to prevention, removal, and enforcement activities related to oil discharges. The USCG budgets by appropriation rather than individual missions, and the MEP mission encompasses these activities. To estimate mission costs, USCG projects resource allocations by mission using an activity-based costing system. The table below includes an allocation of OSLTF expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011.

Table 6: USCG Operating Expense Expenditures greater than or equal to \$250,000 for FY 2011

Recipient of the Funds	Expenditure	Description of Fund Use
COAST GUARD OE - Enforcement	\$1,589,790	Report activities directed at prevention of discharge of oil and activities directed at enforcement of marine environmental protection laws (excluding MARPOL agreements - non-OPA activity).
COAST GUARD OE - Preparedness	\$2,026,890	Report activities directed at readiness related to the discharge of oil and activities directed at responding to marine environmental protection laws.
COAST GUARD OE - Pollution Investigation	\$1,865,200	Report activities directed at investigating the discharge of oil and activities directed at enforcing marine environmental protection laws.
COAST GUARD OE - Response	\$8,752,970	Report activities which endeavor to minimize the damage caused by oil discharged in the marine environment by providing coordinated action in response to discharge or substantial discharge of oil into U.S. waters
COAST GUARD OE - Recovery	\$10,216,160	Report activities directed at abatement of discharged oil to support enforcement marine environmental protection laws by Coast Guard personnel.
Total	\$24,451,010	