

CLAIM SUMMARY / DETERMINATION

Claim Number:	N17003-0001
Claimant:	Texas General Land Office (SOSC)
Type of Claimant:	State
Type of Claim:	Removal Costs
Claim Manager:	[REDACTED]
Amount Requested:	\$279.11

FACTS:

Oil Spill Incident: On October 30, 2016, the Texas General Land Office (TGLO) was notified of a five (5) gallon oil spill at a boat ramp in Port Bolivar, Galveston County, Texas. The oil spill impacted a tributary of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, a navigable waterway of the United States. TGLO personnel responded with USCG MSU Texas City personnel to evaluate the oil spill and conduct an investigation. The investigation conducted did not reveal the source of the oil spill.¹

Description of Removal Activities for this claimant: USCG accessed the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund and obtained FPN N17003 to conduct a cleanup of the discharged oil. Garner Environmental Services (Garner) was hired to conduct the cleanup. Garner personnel contained the oil with the use of containment boom and recovered the oil with the use of sorbent material. Garner personnel also properly disposed of the oiled sorbent material and all visible recoverable oil was removed.²

The Claim: On February 15, 2017, TGLO submitted an expedited small claim to the National Pollution Fund Center (NPFC) for reimbursement of their uncompensated removal costs of State personnel and equipment costs in the amount of \$279.11.³

APPLICABLE LAW:

"Oil" is defined in relevant part, at 33 USC § 2701(23), to mean "oil of any kind or in any form, including petroleum, fuel oil, sludge, oil refuse, and oil mixed with wastes other than dredged spoil".

The Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (OSLTF), which is administered by the NPFC, is available, pursuant to 33 USC §§ 2712(a)(4) and 2713 and the OSLTF claims adjudication regulations at 33 CFR Part 136, to pay claims for uncompensated removal costs that are determined to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan and uncompensated damages. Removal costs are defined as "the costs of removal that are incurred after a discharge of oil has occurred or, in any case in which there is a substantial threat of a discharge of oil, the costs to prevent, minimize, or mitigate oil pollution from an incident".

¹ See TGLO Expedited Small Claim Package dated February 15, 2017.

² See MSU Texas City POLREP 1 dated January 30, 2017.

³ See TGLO Expedited Small Claim Package dated February 15, 2017.

Under 33 USC §2713(b)(2) and 33 CFR 136.103(d) no claim against the OSLTF may be approved or certified for payment during the pendency of an action by the claimant in court to recover the same costs that are the subject of the claim. See also, 33 USC §2713(c) and 33 CFR 136.103(c)(2) [claimant election].

33 U.S.C. §2713(d) provides that "If a claim is presented in accordance with this section, including a claim for interim, short-term damages representing less than the full amount of damages to which the claimant ultimately may be entitled, and full and adequate compensation is unavailable, a claim for the uncompensated damages and removal costs may be presented to the Fund."

Under 33 CFR 136.105(a) and 136.105(e)(6), the claimant bears the burden of providing to the NPFC, all evidence, information, and documentation deemed necessary by the Director, NPFC, to support the claim.

Under 33 CFR 136.105(b) each claim must be in writing, for a sum certain for each category of uncompensated damages or removal costs resulting from an incident. In addition, under 33 CFR 136, the claimant bears the burden to prove the removal actions were reasonable in response to the scope of the oil spill incident, and the NPFC has the authority and responsibility to perform a reasonableness determination. Specifically, under 33 CFR 136.203, "a claimant must establish -

- (a) That the actions taken were necessary to prevent, minimize, or mitigate the effects of the incident;
- (b) That the removal costs were incurred as a result of these actions;
- (c) That the actions taken were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC."

Under 33 CFR 136.205 "the amount of compensation allowable is the total of uncompensated *reasonable* removal costs of actions taken that were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC. Except in exceptional circumstances, removal *activities* for which costs are being claimed must have been coordinated with the FOSC." [Emphasis added].

DETERMINATION OF LOSS:

A. Overview:

1. MST2 [REDACTED] of USCG MSU Texas City provided FOSC coordination 33 U.S.C. § 2702(b)(1)(B) and 2712 (a)(4)⁴;
2. The incident involved the discharge of "oil" as defined in OPA 90, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(23), to navigable waters;
3. In accordance with 33 CFR § 136.105(e)(12), the claimant has certified no suit has been filed in court for the claimed uncompensated removal costs;
4. The claim was submitted on time;
5. The NPFC Claims Manager has thoroughly reviewed all documentation submitted with the claim and determined that the removal costs presented were for actions in accordance with

⁴ See Email documenting conversation between Claim Manager [REDACTED] and MST2 [REDACTED], dated February 16, 2017.

the NCP and that the costs for these actions were indeed reasonable and allowable under OPA and 33 CFR § 136.205.

B. Analysis:

NPFC CA reviewed the actual cost invoices and dailies to confirm that the claimant had incurred all costs claimed. The review focused on: (1) whether the actions taken were compensable "removal actions" under OPA and the claims regulations at 33 CFR 136 (e.g., actions to prevent, minimize, mitigate the effects of the incident); (2) whether the costs were incurred as a result of these actions; (3) whether the actions taken were determined to be consistent with the NCP in accordance with the NPFC delegation of authority for determination of consistency, and (4) whether the costs were adequately documented and reasonable.

The NPFC has determined that all of the costs incurred by the Claimant in this determination were reasonable and necessary to mitigate the effects of the incident. Upon review of the information provided by the Claimant, the NPFC has determined that the payable costs were billed in accordance with the rate schedule and/or contractual agreements in place at the time the services were rendered and were determined by the FOOSC to be consistent with the NCP.

The NPFC hereby determines that the OSLTF will pay \$279.11 as full compensation for the reimbursable removal costs incurred by the Claimant and submitted to the NPFC under claim # N17003-0001. All costs claimed are for charges paid for by the Claimant for removal actions as that term is defined in OPA and, are compensable removal costs, payable by the OSLTF as presented by the Claimant.

AMOUNT: \$279.11

Claim Supervisor:

Date of Supervisor's review: **February 16, 2017**

Supervisor Action:

Supervisor's Comments: