### CLAIM SUMMARY / DETERMINATION FORM

Claim Number: N13013-0001

Claimant: Texas General Land Office

Type of Claimant: State

Type of Claim: Removal Costs

Claim Manager:

Amount Requested: \$185.78

### **FACTS**

On February 19, 2013, Marine Safety Unit (MSU) Texas City received notification from the Claimant, Texas General Land Office (TGLO), of a mystery spill at Hornbeck Seafood on Bolivar Island on the south bank of the inercoastal waterway, a navigable waterway of the US.

A pollution response team deployed to the scene and found approximately 20 gallons of recoverable black oil. The pollution response team was unable to identify a source although upon arrival, the team sampled the spill and a the bilge of the F/V Blue Dolphin as a potential source. Sample analysis came back as a non-match to the vessel and the incident has been determined a mystery. Coast Guard Federal On Scene Coordinator (FOSC) hired T & T Marine Salvage to handle the cleanup. The incident was reported to the NRC via report # 103886.

# Description of Removal Activities for this Claimant

The Claimant in its capacity as the State On Scene Coordinator (SOSC) responded to the spill and coordinated with the Federal On Scene Coordinator's Representative (FOSCR) PO who opened Federal Project Number (FPN) N13013 and T&T Salvage was contracted for the response. TGLO remained on scene and monitored the cleanup with the Coast Guard to determine compliance with the National Contingency Plan (NCP).

## The Claim

On May 28, 2013, the Texas General Land Office (TGLO) submitted a removal cost claim to the National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC) for reimbursement of their uncompensated removal costs in the amount of \$185.78.

TGLO is claiming response expenses in the amount of \$45.78 in personnel costs and \$140.00 in state vehicle costs for a total of \$185.78 in uncompensated removal costs.

The claim consists of the claim memorandum, TGLO response cost invoice, and email correspondence evidencing non-match of sampled oil.

## APPLICABLE LAW

"Oil" is "oil of any kind or in any form, including petroleum, fuel oil, sludge, oil refuse, and oil mixed with wastes other than dredged spoil" 33 USC § 2701(23).

The Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (OSLTF), which is administered by the NPFC, is available, pursuant to 33 USC § 2712(a)(4) and 2713 and the OSLTF claims adjudication regulations at 33 CFR Part 136, to pay claims for uncompensated removal costs that are determined to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan and uncompensated damages. Removal costs are defined as the "costs of removal that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, Texas General Land Office Memorandum, dated May 28, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, Texas General Land Office Memorandum, dated May 28, 2013.

are incurred after a discharge of oil has occurred or, in any case in which there is a substantial threat of a discharge of oil, the costs to prevent, minimize, or mitigate oil pollution from an incident."

Pursuant to 33 USC § 2713(b)(2) and 33 CFR 136.103(d) no claim against the OSLTF may be approved or certified for payment during the pendency of an action by the claimant in court to recover the same costs that are the subject of the claim. See also, 33 USC § 2713(c) and 33 CFR 136.103(c)(2) [claimant election].

Pursuant to 33 USC § 2713(d), "if a claim is presented in accordance with this section, including a claim for interim, short-term damages representing less than the full amount of damages to which the claimant ultimately may be entitled, and full and adequate compensation is unavailable, a claim for the uncompensated damages and removal costs may be presented to the Fund."

Pursuant to 33 CFR 136.105(a) and 136.105(e)(6), the claimant bears the burden of providing to the NPFC all evidence, information, and documentation deemed necessary by the Director, NPFC, to support the claim.

Pursuant to 33 CFR 136.105(b), each claim must be in writing, for a sum certain for each category of uncompensated damages or removal costs resulting from an incident. In addition, under 33 CFR 136, the claimant bears the burden to prove the removal actions were reasonable in response to the scope of the oil spill incident, and the NPFC has the authority and responsibility to perform a reasonableness determination.

Specifically, under 33 CFR 136.203, "a claimant must establish:

- (a) That the actions taken were necessary to prevent, minimize, or mitigate the effects of the incident;
- (b) That the removal costs were incurred as a result of these actions;
- (c) That the actions taken were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC."

Pursuant to 33 CFR 136.205 "the amount of compensation allowable is the total of uncompensated *reasonable* removal costs of actions taken that were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC. Except in exceptional circumstances, removal *activities* for which costs are being claimed must have been coordinated with the FOSC."

# **Determination of Loss**

#### Overview

- 1. FOSC coordination was made by USCG MSU Texas City, via MST3
- 2. The incident involved a discharge of "oil" as defined in OPA 90, 33 USC § 2701 (23), that presented a substantial threat to navigable waters;
- 3. In accordance with 33 CFR § 136.105(e)(12), the claimant has certified no suit has been filed in court for the claimed uncompensated removal costs;
- 4. The claim was submitted within the six year statute of limitations. 33 U.S.C. § 2712(h)(1);
- 5. The NPFC Claims Manager has thoroughly reviewed all documentation submitted with the claim and determined that the removal costs presented were for actions in accordance with the NCP and that costs for these actions were indeed reasonable and allowable pursuant to OPA 90 and 33 CFR § 136.025 as set forth below.

The review of the actual cost invoicing and dailies focused on: (1) whether the actions taken were compensable "removal actions" under OPA and the claims regulations at 33 CFR 136 (e.g., actions to prevent, minimize, mitigate the effects of the incident); (2) whether the costs were incurred as a result of these actions; (3) whether the actions taken were consistent with the NCP or directed by the FOSC, and (4) whether the costs were adequately documented.

Upon review of the claim submission, the NPFC has determined that the removal costs presented and incurred were billed appropriately at the time services were rendered and confirmed the presence of the Claimant during response actions.

On that basis, the Claims Manager hereby determines that the Claimant did in fact incur \$185.78 of uncompensated removal costs and that that amount is payable by the OSLTF as full compensation for the reimbursable removal costs incurred by the Claimant and submitted to the NPFC under claim #N13013 -0001.

### **Determined Amount**

The NPFC determines that the OSLTF will pay \$185.78 as full compensation for the reimbursable removal costs incurred by the Claimant and submitted to NPFC under claim #N13013-0001. All costs presented to the OSLTF in this claim are for removal actions as defined in OPA 90 and 33 CFR 136, and are compensable removal costs.

# AMOUNT: \$185.78

Claim Supervisor:

Date of Supervisor's review: 6/6/13

Supervisor Action: Approved

Supervisor's Comments: