

## CLAIM SUMMARY / DETERMINATION

<b>Claim Number:</b>	913055-0001
<b>Claimant:</b>	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
<b>Type of Claimant:</b>	State
<b>Type of Claim:</b>	Removal Costs [REDACTED]
<b>Claim Manager:</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Amount Requested:</b>	\$146.57

### FACTS:

#### **Oil Spill Incident:**

On May 25, 2012, The Florida Bureau of Emergency Response (FL BER) received a call from the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office reporting a "silvery diesel fuel sheen on the waters of the ICW." The sheen was located near a dock behind 13163 Gulf Blvd at Madeira Beach. Upon arrival, FL BER personnel, [REDACTED] went to the location and met with USCG personnel. All parties noticed the sheen in the water. The FL BER estimated that there was less than 1 gallon of fuel that had leaked into the water. The source of the discharge was a partially sunken vessel.

Notifications were made to the NRC, the United States Coast Guard (USCG) and the Florida Bureau of Emergency Response, (FL BER). The vessel owner took responsibility for the vessel and it was re-floated.

#### **Description of Removal Activities for this Claimant:**

When the FL BER personnel and USCG personnel arrived at the location of the incident, they noted the partially sunken vessel. At that time, the vessel was not yet raised and the sheen was still present. They waited for the vessel owner. When FL BER and USCG returned on 4 June 2012, the boat had been re-floated and there was no visible sheen. Based on the initial volume of less than one gallon of oil, the FL BER case was closed.

#### **The Claim:**

On 6 May 2013, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) submitted a removal cost claim to the National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC) for reimbursement of their uncompensated removal costs of State personnel, expenses and equipment costs in the amount of \$146.57.

### APPLICABLE LAW:

Under OPA 90, at 33 USC § 2702(a), responsible parties are liable for removal costs and damages resulting from the discharge of oil into navigable waters and adjoining shorelines, as described in Section 2702(b) of OPA 90. A responsible party's liability will include "removal costs incurred by any person for acts taken by the person which are consistent with the National Contingency Plan". 33 USC § 2702(b)(1)(B).

"Oil" is defined in relevant part, at 33 USC § 2701(23), to mean "oil of any kind or in any form, including petroleum, fuel oil, sludge, oil refuse, and oil mixed with wastes other than dredged spoil".

The Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (OSLTF), which is administered by the NPFC, is available, pursuant to 33 USC §§ 2712(a)(4) and 2713 and the OSLTF claims adjudication regulations at 33 CFR Part 136, to pay claims for uncompensated removal costs that are determined to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan and uncompensated damages. Removal costs are defined as "the costs of removal that are incurred after a discharge of oil has occurred or, in any case in which there is a substantial threat of a discharge of oil, the costs to prevent, minimize, or mitigate oil pollution from an incident".

Under 33 USC §2713(b)(2) and 33 CFR 136.103(d) no claim against the OSLTF may be approved or certified for payment during the pendency of an action by the claimant in court to recover the same costs that are the subject of the claim. See also, 33 USC §2713(c) and 33 CFR 136.103(c)(2) [claimant election].

Under 33 CFR 136.105(a) and 136.105(e)(6), the claimant bears the burden of providing to the NPFC, all evidence, information, and documentation deemed necessary by the Director, NPFC, to support the claim.

Under 33 CFR 136.105(b) each claim must be in writing, for a sum certain for each category of uncompensated damages or removal costs resulting from an incident. In addition, under 33 CFR 136, the claimant bears the burden to prove the removal actions were reasonable in response to the scope of the oil spill incident, and the NPFC has the authority and responsibility to perform a reasonableness determination. Specifically, under 33 CFR 136.203, "a claimant must establish -

- (a) That the actions taken were necessary to prevent, minimize, or mitigate the effects of the incident;
- (b) That the removal costs were incurred as a result of these actions;
- (c) That the actions taken were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC."

Under 33 CFR 136.205 "the amount of compensation allowable is the total of uncompensated *reasonable* removal costs of actions taken that were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC. Except in exceptional circumstances, removal *activities* for which costs are being claimed must have been coordinated with the FOSC." [Emphasis added].

## **DETERMINATION OF LOSS:**

### **A. Overview**

1. FOSC Coordination has been established via USCG Sector St. Petersburg;
2. The incident involved the report of a discharge of "oil" as defined in OPA 90, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(23), to navigable waters.
3. In accordance with 33 CFR § 136.105(e)(12), the claimant has certified no suit has been filed in court for the claimed uncompensated removal costs.
4. The claim was submitted within the six year statute of limitations. 33 U.S.C. § 2712(h)(1).
5. A Responsible Party was determined, but to date, has not paid this claim. 33 U.S.C. § 2701(32).

6. The NPFC Claims Manager has thoroughly reviewed all documentation submitted with the claim and determined that all removal costs presented were for actions in accordance with the NCP and that the costs for these actions were indeed reasonable and allowable under OPA and 33 CFR § 136.205.

**B. Analysis:**

NPFC CA reviewed the actual cost invoices and dailes to confirm that the claimant had incurred all costs claimed. The review focused on: (1) whether the actions taken were compensable "removal actions" under OPA and the claims regulations at 33 CFR 136 (e.g. actions to prevent, minimize, mitigate the effects of the incident); (2) whether the actions taken were determined by the FOSC, to be consistent with the NCP or directed by the FOSC, and (4) whether the costs were adequately documented and reasonable.

The Claims Manager validated the costs incurred and Sector St. Petersburg determined these costs, were reasonable, necessary and performed in accordance with the National Contingency Plan (NCP) as evidenced by their sign off on FL DEP's Emergency Response Incident Report dated 6/4/12. The Claimant states that all costs claimed are for uncompensated removal costs incurred by the Claimant for this incident on May 25, 2012. The Claimant represents that the costs it paid are compensable removal costs, payable by the OSLTF as presented by the claimant.

After a review of the file, and reading the corresponding FL DEP and USCG documents, the response by FL DEP is determined to be reasonable and necessary to mitigate any further damage the oil may have caused. On that basis, the Claims Manager hereby determines that the Claimant incurred \$146.57 of uncompensated removal costs and that that amount is payable by the OSLTF as full compensation for the reimbursable removal costs incurred by the claimant and submitted to the NPFC under claim #913055-0001.

**C. Determined Amount**

The NPFC hereby determines that the OSLTF will pay \$146.57 as full compensation for the reimbursable removal costs incurred by the Claimant and submitted to the NPFC under Claim number 913055-0001. All costs claimed are for charges paid for by the Claimant for removal actions as that term is defined in OPA and, are compensable removal costs, payable by the OSLTF as presented by the Claimant.

**AMOUNT: \$146.57**

Claim Supervi 

Date of Supervisor's review: *5/16/13*

Supervisor Action: *Approved*

Supervisor's Comments: