

## CLAIM SUMMARY / DETERMINATION FORM

Claim Number : 912081-0001  
Claimant : State of California  
Type of Claimant : State  
Type of Claim : Removal Costs  
Claim Manager : ██████████  
Amount Requested : \$1,537.97

### FACTS:

- 1. Oil Spill Incident:** On January 19, 2011, California Department of Fish & Game, Office of Spill Prevention and Response (OSPR) was notified at approximately 1350 hours that a fishing vessel had sunk at the Rafael Yacht Harbor located at 555 Francisco Blvd in the city of San Rafael, Marin County, a navigable waterway of the US. Warden ██████████ deployed to the scene to find that the vessel *Terrorizer* had sunk and was partially submerged in the San Pablo Bay. OSPR reports that a sheen was visible coming up from the vessel. OSPR took photographs of the incident and worked with the City of Morro Bay Harbor Patrol in order to contact the owner of the vessel, Mr. ██████████, to aid in the recovery and cleanup of the vessel and surrounding waters of the Bay. The Coast Guard Station Morro Bay was notified of the incident.
- 2. Description of Removal Actions:** On January 19, 2011, the vessel was pumped and raised. Upon doing so, it was discovered that an internal water line within the vessel had become open and filled the boat with freshwater. Due to the tide cycles and weather conditions for the day, OSPR was unable to clearly approximate how much oil had originally spilled into the waters of the Bay.
- 3. The Claim:** California Department of Fish & Game, Office of Prevention and Response (OSPR) presented a removal cost claim to the National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC) in the amount of \$1,537.97. The claim consists of OSPR personnel costs in the amount of \$1,418.58, OSPR vehicle usage charges in the amount of \$98.80, and administrative costs in the amount of \$20.59.

### APPLICABLE LAW:

Under OPA 90, at 33 USC § 2702(a), responsible parties are liable for removal costs and damages resulting from the discharge of oil into navigable waters and adjoining shorelines, as described in Section 2702(b) of OPA 90. A responsible party's liability will include "removal costs incurred by any person for acts taken by the person which are consistent with the National Contingency Plan". 33 USC § 2702(b)(1)(B).

"Oil" is defined in relevant part, at 33 USC § 2701(23), to mean "oil of any kind or in any form, including petroleum, fuel oil, sludge, oil refuse, and oil mixed with wastes other than dredged spoil".

The Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (OSLTF), which is administered by the NPFC, is available, pursuant to 33 USC §§ 2712(a)(4) and 2713 and the OSLTF claims adjudication regulations at 33 CFR Part 136, to pay claims for uncompensated removal costs that are determined to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan and uncompensated damages. Removal costs are defined as “the costs of removal that are incurred after a discharge of oil has occurred or, in any case in which there is a substantial threat of a discharge of oil, the costs to prevent, minimize, or mitigate oil pollution from an incident”.

Under 33 USC §2713(b)(2) and 33 CFR 136.103(d) no claim against the OSLTF may be approved or certified for payment during the pendency of an action by the claimant in court to recover the same costs that are the subject of the claim. See also, 33 USC §2713(c) and 33 CFR 136.103(c)(2) [claimant election].

33 U.S.C. §2713(d) provides that “If a claim is presented in accordance with this section, including a claim for interim, short-term damages representing less than the full amount of damages to which the claimant ultimately may be entitled, and full and adequate compensation is unavailable, a claim for the uncompensated damages and removal costs may be presented to the Fund.”

Under 33 CFR 136.105(a) and 136.105(e)(6), the claimant bears the burden of providing to the NPFC, all evidence, information, and documentation deemed necessary by the Director, NPFC, to support the claim.

Under 33 CFR 136.105(b) each claim must be in writing, for a sum certain for each category of uncompensated damages or removal costs resulting from an incident. In addition, under 33 CFR 136, the claimant bears the burden to prove the removal actions were reasonable in response to the scope of the oil spill incident, and the NPFC has the authority and responsibility to perform a reasonableness determination. Specifically, under 33 CFR 136.203, “a claimant must establish -

- (a) That the actions taken were necessary to prevent, minimize, or mitigate the effects of the incident;
- (b) That the removal costs were incurred as a result of these actions;
- (c) That the actions taken were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC.”

Under 33 CFR 136.205 “the amount of compensation allowable is the total of uncompensated *reasonable* removal costs of actions taken that were determined by the FOSC to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan or were directed by the FOSC. Except in exceptional circumstances, removal *activities* for which costs are being claimed must have been coordinated with the FOSC.” [Emphasis added].

## **DETERMINATION OF LOSS:**

### ***A. Overview:***

1. The NPFC has determined that the actions undertaken by the claimant are deemed consistent with the NCP. This determination is made in accordance with the Delegation of Authority for Determination of Consistency with the NCP for the payment of uncompensated removal cost claims and is consistent with the provisions of sections 1002(b)(1)(B) and 1012(a)(4) of OPA, 33 U.S.C. §§ 2702(b)(1)(B) and 2712(a)(4).
2. The incident involved the discharge of "oil" as defined in OPA 90, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(23), to navigable waters.
3. In accordance with 33 CFR § 136.105(e)(12), the claimant has certified no suit has been filed in court for the claimed uncompensated removal costs.
4. The claim was submitted within the six year statute of limitations. 33 U.S.C. § 2712(h)(2)
5. The NPFC Claims Manager has thoroughly reviewed all documentation submitted with the claim and determined that the majority of the removal costs presented were for actions in accordance with the NCP and that the costs for these actions were indeed reasonable and allowable under OPA and 33 CFR § 136.205.

### ***B. Analysis:***

NPFC CA reviewed the actual cost invoices and dailies to confirm that the claimant had incurred all costs claimed. The review focused on: (1) whether the actions taken were compensable "removal actions" under OPA and the claims regulations at 33 CFR 136 (e.g., actions to prevent, minimize, mitigate the effects of the incident); (2) whether the costs were incurred as a result of these actions; (3) whether the actions taken were determined by the FOOSC, to be consistent with the NCP or directed by the FOOSC, and (4) whether the costs were adequately documented and reasonable.

Upon review of the claim submission, the NPFC has determined that the costs presented and incurred were billed appropriately at the time services were rendered. The NPFC also determined that the actions taken by the Claimant were reasonable and necessary in order to mitigate the discharge to the environment. The disposal manifest corroborates the presence of oil contamination.

On that basis, the Claims Manager hereby determines that the Claimant did in fact incur \$1,517.38 of uncompensated removal costs and that that amount is payable by the OSLTF as full compensation for the reimbursable removal costs incurred by the Claimant and submitted to the NPFC under claim #912081-0001.

The NPFC has denied \$20.59 of admin costs as unsubstantiated and therefore not a proper use of the OSLTF and hereby denied.

The Claimant states that all costs claimed are for uncompensated removal costs incurred by the Claimant for this incident on January 19, 2011. The Claimant represents that all costs paid by the Claimant are compensable removal costs, payable by the OSLTF as presented by the Claimant.

### ***C. Determined Amount:***

The NPFC hereby determines that the OSLTF will pay \$1,517.38 as full compensation for the reimbursable removal costs incurred by the Claimant and submitted to the NPFC under claim # 912081-0001. All costs claimed are for charges paid for by the Claimant for removal actions as that term is defined in OPA and, are compensable removal costs, payable by the OSLTF as presented by the Claimant.

**AMOUNT: \$1,517.38**

Claim Supervisor:

Date of Supervisor's review: *8/6/12*

Supervisor Action: *Approved*