PROCESS FOR DISCREPANCIES WITH ACP SUPPLEMENT

ORIGINATOR

Classification Society

Origination of ACP Question, discrepancy, problem, or concern.

MSO (OCMI):

1. Has this been previously reviewed/addressed?
2. Can this be addressed on unit level?
3. Is this non-critical?

YES

District M Office

No, send for review.

MARINE SAFETY CENTER (MSC):

1. Has this been previously reviewed/addressed?
2. Is this non-critical?
3. Can this be reviewed by MSC?

YES

INFORM

No, send for review.

G-MSE: ACP COORDINATOR

1. Is this a new issue?
2. Is this safety critical?
3. Is this not addressed within Class Rules? Within International Standards?
4. Does it need to be examined within other C/S Supplements?
5. Is this an appeal of a decision by an OCMI or by the MSC?

NO

YES

PROVIDE GUIDANCE/ADMINISTER OVERSIGHT

1. Implement under precept of USCG oversight authority.
2. Inform all parties (OCMI, MSC, MSE, MOC, and Industry). Direct parties to unit reference material and/or ACP Website as appropriate.
3. Give recommendation to MSE for addition to Supplement revision holding file.

ADDITION TO SUPPLEMENT - HOLDING FILE

Based upon review of OCMI and MSC oversight and guidance decisions by MSE, this file exists as the official location for storage of items to be implemented into an official revision of the applicable Supplement. This file is posted electronically along with its corresponding Supplement on the Coast Guard's ACP Website (http://www.uscg.mil/hq/g-m/mse/acp/acp.htm) and is eventually integrated within the applicable Supplement as part of the annual review process.

G-MOC-1

ACP Manager

Rev. 16 JAN 2003

LEGEND

Direct communication

In-direct communication, inform

Interdependent communication

NOTES

1. Under the ACP, the USCG retains COI and oversight authority (46 CFR § 8).
2. G-MSE is the appellate authority; determinations are final.
3. Supplements will be reviewed annually; Supplements will be amended based upon necessity (i.e. holding file items to be integrated).