

From: [Kaity](#)
To: [Garneau, Allen M CIV](#)
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Second Span of Ambassador Bridge
Date: Sunday, February 28, 2016 9:16:58 PM

To Whom This May Concern:

I am deeply concerned about the second span proposed by Ambassador Bridge Company. They have been poor stewards of public health and community well-being in the past, and do not show signs of considering the interests of the public in future endeavors. Riverside Park would be needed to build the bridge as proposed, and that park is worth quite a bit in terms of tourist attraction and improving community home values. It has also been improved using millions of dollars over the past few decades. The use of that land would also require a land conversion permit, which has not been submitted, and DNR has stated that they are not likely to approve such a permit.

Additionally, the Bridge Company is using outdated environmental assessment data, with poor assumptions and procedural errors. There needs to be a full and accurate Environmental Impact Study (EIS) on the proposed second span prior to considering this application. Not to mention, there is a second bridge already being built by the Michigan and Ontario governments, and a third bridge would be disastrous for public health. The rates of asthma in the ZIP codes surrounding the bridge have some of the highest rates of persistent asthma for children covered by Medicaid. A bi-national study between Canada and U.S. ranked the concept of a second private Ambassador Bridge as one of the worst possible options, primarily due to its environmental impact on the local neighborhoods. I hope that will be considered by the Coast Guard in regards to this proposal.

Thank you,

Kaity Nicastri, LMSW
SW Detroit Resident & Homeowner
48209

Enviado desde mi iPad