

**From:** [Stephen Weatherholt](#)  
**To:** [stephaniechang@house.mi.gov](mailto:stephaniechang@house.mi.gov); [Garneau, Allen M CIV](#)  
**Subject:** [Non-DoD Source] Ambassador Bridge  
**Date:** Friday, February 26, 2016 8:36:51 AM

---

I read about the twin Ambassador Bridge on MLive. I'm not sure what public in put will do. If the organization has bought the property and the organization takes the proper steps to care of the community that is impacted by this second span, then I would assume they can proceed.

I personally think the drawings of the second bridge seems silly and wasteful, but it is private money. I would think they would build an identical twin with one bridge going one way and the other way. Ascetically this would seem more pleasing.

It also seems the Canadian side is not happy about the bridge as it sends commercial traffic right onto their city streets - a justifiable concern. I also have a problem with the current bridge NOT sending non-commercial traffic right on Detroit's City Streets (Vernor and to Michigan Ave.). The issue to me seems to be the two types of traffic, commercial and non-commercial. Commercial seems more suited to go from freeway to freeway while non-commercial would be better suited to connect main city streets (like the Tunnel). This commercial traffic does not seem possible for the Canadian side.

Both bridges should be allowed as long as both sides take the necessary measures to make sure what they build does not harm the communities around them. Competition between bridges would be a good thing for our community.

My main concern is for the transport of toxic material on any bridge where city streets are included. The Canadian bridge still seems to be the best option for this, going from freeway to freeway.

If there is any way citizens can be involved, I'd be happy to participate. My main focus has always been mass transit. I'm aware of the Tunnel Bus run by Windsor's Transit Authority but I'd like to see improvements to this option, making sure the train tunnel under the river or a gondola over the river or an improved, second bus option. Movement = money. The more movement the better.

My only other comment about the second bridge proposal is that I think it looks ugly right up against the Ambassador bridge.

Steve

Email disclaimer: This email is intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named herein. It

may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or an authorized representative, consider this notification that any review, copying, or distribution of this email or attachments is prohibited. If this email was received in error, please immediately notify the sender by return email and delete the email. Thank you.