

From: [J Paul Krystyniak](#)
To: [Garneau, Allen M CIV](#)
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Written comments for Public Notice 09-01-16
Date: Wednesday, February 24, 2016 11:16:47 AM

Mr. Garneau,

I am a resident of southwest Detroit. I work and live near the current Ambassador Bridge. I am submitting the following comments to strongly urge the USCG to oppose the current proposal called the Ambassador Bridge Enhancement Project (Public Notice 09-01-16).

My concerns below are serious and need to be addressed by the federal government:

A piece of property that is key to the project located at Riverside Park is NOT owned by the Ambassador Bridge Company as it is being misstated by the media, City of Detroit officials and the bridge owners. City of Detroit does not have legal authority to convey ownership of Riverside Park. Due to various federal grants that triggered restrictions to the Park's use, the Ambassador Bridge Company is not permitted to own it without federal and state government approval. I have read the letters by both the Michigan Department of Natural Resources & U.S. Department of Interior National Park Service. An international crossing would completely change the purpose of the park which violates the restrictions set forth in using the Land & Water Trust Fund as well as the Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund. Since the Bridge Company does not own all the property necessary, the application should be, at a minimum, suspended. The "warehouse property" to the west of the park that is being proposed to swap out for the park property is an unsuitable replacement as it has a structure, tenants, and unknown environmental conditions.

The host neighborhood deserves an elevated environmental study, an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The Bridge Company does not use the most updated modeling process to analyze air quality. They must use MOVES2010a. Even more, it is clear that the project falls short of meeting the requirements of NEPA. They still segmented the project and using outdated data as well as modeling processes.

Please make sure that USCG does everything in their authority to ensure that the Bridge Company is not trying to avoid following the laws that are there to protect the public.

Sincerely,