

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b><u>Section</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
ES.1 Proposed Action	ES-1
ES.2 Other Major Government Actions	ES-1
ES.3 Alternatives Considered	ES-3
ES.4 Major Environmental Impacts	ES-5
ES.5 Areas of Controversy	ES-7
ES.6 List of Other Government Actions Required	ES-9
ES.7 Probable Adverse Environmental Effects which Cannot Be Avoided	ES-9
ES.8 Irretrievable and Irreversible Commitment of Resources	ES-10
ES.9 Feasible Measures to Avoid or Minimize Adverse Effects	ES-10
ES.10 Short-Term Impacts Versus Long-Term Benefits	ES-11
1 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION	1-1
1.1 Project Setting	1-1
1.2 Purpose and Need for Action	1-4
1.2.1 Regional Context	1-5
1.2.2 Population and Employment Growth	1-10
1.2.3 Improvements LOS on the Local Roadway Network	1-12
1.2.4 Emergency Response and Evacuation Enhancement	1-15
1.2.5 Hurricane Evacuation	1-18
1.3 System Linkage and Local Government Authority	1-22
1.4 Consistency with Locally Adopted Transportation Plans	1-22
1.5 Performance Measures	1-23
1.6 Conclusion	1-23
2 ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1 Historical Background	2-1
2.2 Alternatives Considered	2-1
2.3 Alternatives Screening	2-4
2.3.1 Step 1 Analysis	2-4
2.3.2 Step 2 Analysis	2-9
2.3.3 Step 3 Analysis	2-14
2.3.4 Screening Analysis Conclusions	2-15
2.4 Post-Screening Changes	2-16
2.5 Alternatives Recommended for Evaluation in this DEIS	2-16
2.5.1 No-Build Alternative	2-17
2.5.2 Fort Hamer Alternative	2-17
2.5.3 Rye Road Alternative	2-20
3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	3-1
3.1 Social Characteristics	3-1
3.1.1 Socioeconomic Conditions	3-1
3.1.1.1 Characteristics of the Population	3-1
3.1.1.2 Existing Economic Conditions	3-4

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)**

<b><u>Section</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
3.1.2 Land Use Characteristics	3-13
3.1.2.1 Existing Land Uses	3-13
3.1.2.2 Future Land Use	3-16
3.1.3 Traffic	3-19
3.1.4 Community Cohesion	3-23
3.1.5 Relocation Potential	3-23
3.1.6 Community Services and Facilities	3-24
3.1.6.1 Religious Centers	3-24
3.1.6.2 Schools	3-26
3.1.6.3 Parks and Recreation Areas	3-26
3.1.6.4 Public Facilities	3-28
3.1.6.5 Pedestrian/Bicycle Facilities	3-28
3.1.7 Environmental Justice Populations	3-30
3.1.7.1 Assessment of the Population	3-30
3.1.7.2 Poverty	3-31
3.1.7.3 Minority Populations	3-32
3.1.8 Controversy Potential	3-36
3.1.9 Utilities and Railroads	3-37
3.2 Cultural Resources	3-38
3.2.1 Introduction	3-38
3.2.2 Background Research and Literature Review	3-40
3.2.3 Archaeological Survey Results	3-46
3.2.4 Historical/Architectural Survey Results	3-52
3.2.5 Documentary Research Results	3-59
3.3 Natural Environment	3-60
3.3.1 Land Use/Vegetative Cover	3-60
3.3.2 Wetlands	3-65
3.3.3 Essential Fish Habitat	3-67
3.3.3.1 Introduction	3-67
3.3.3.2 Previous EFH Consultation (Pre-USCG as Lead)	3-68
3.3.3.3 Current EFH Coordination (USCG as Lead)	3-68
3.3.3.4 Existing EFH Resources	3-68
3.3.4 Wildlife	3-70
3.3.4.1 Mammals	3-70
3.3.4.2 Migratory Birds	3-70
3.3.4.3 Reptiles and Amphibians	3-71
3.3.4.4 Fish	3-71
3.3.4.5 Invasive Plants	3-71
3.3.5 Threatened and Endangered Species	3-74
3.3.6 Aquatic Preserves	3-75
3.3.7 Water Quality	3-75
3.3.8 Outstanding Florida Waters	3-79
3.3.9 Wild and Scenic Rivers	3-79

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.3.10 Groundwater	3-79
3.3.11 Floodplains and Floodways	3-79
3.3.12 Coastal Zone Consistency	3-80
3.3.13 Coastal Barrier Island Resources	3-83
3.3.14 Farmlands	3-83
3.3.15 Visual and Aesthetics	3-83
3.4 Physical Characteristics	3-84
3.4.1 Noise	3-84
3.4.1.1 Methodology	3-87
3.4.1.2 Noise-Sensitive Sites	3-88
3.4.1.3 Existing Noise Levels	3-89
3.4.2 Air Quality	3-90
3.4.3 Construction	3-90
3.4.4 Contamination	3-90
3.4.5 Scenic Highways	3-99
3.4.6 Navigation	3-99
3.5 Other Actions	3-100
4 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES AND RECOMMENDATION	4-1
4.1 Social Impacts	4-2
4.1.1 Socioeconomic Conditions	4-2
4.1.1.1 Impact to the Population	4-2
4.1.1.2 Impacts to the Economy	4-3
4.1.2 Land Use Characteristics	4-9
4.1.2.1 Existing Land Uses	4-9
4.1.2.2 Future Land Uses	4-11
4.1.3 Traffic	4-14
4.1.4 Community Cohesion	4-17
4.1.5 Relocation Potential	4-19
4.1.6 Community Services and Facilities	4-19
4.1.6.1 Religious Centers	4-20
4.1.6.2 Schools	4-20
4.1.6.3 Parks and Recreation Areas	4-25
4.1.6.4 Public Facilities	4-27
4.1.6.5 Pedestrian/Bicycle Facilities	4-29
4.1.7 Environmental Justice	4-30
4.1.8 Controversy Potential	4-31
4.1.9 Utilities and Railroads	4-32
4.1.10 Summary of Social Impacts	4-32
4.2 Cultural Impacts	4-33
4.2.1 Archaeological	4-35
4.2.2 Historical	4-36
4.2.3 Summary of Cultural Resources Impacts	4-36
4.3 Natural Environment	4-37

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)**

<b><u>Section</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
4.3.1 Land Use/Vegetative Cover	4-37
4.3.2 Wetlands	4-38
4.3.2.1 Avoidance and Minimization	4-38
4.3.2.2 Analysis of Wetland Impacts	4-39
4.3.2.3 Wetland Impacts	4-39
4.3.2.4 Uniform Mitigation Assessment Method	4-41
4.3.2.5 Conceptual Wetland Mitigation	4-43
4.3.3 Essential Fish Habitat (EFH)	4-45
4.3.4 Wildlife	4-47
4.3.5 Threatened and Endangered Species	4-48
4.3.5.1 Federally-Listed Species	4-48
4.3.5.2 State-Listed Species	4-51
4.3.5.3 Critical Habitat	4-52
4.3.5.4 Status of Agency Coordination	4-53
4.3.6 Aquatic Preserves	4-53
4.3.7 Water Quality	4-54
4.3.8 Outstanding Florida Waters (OFWs)	4-54
4.3.9 Wild and Scenic Rivers	4-55
4.3.10 Groundwater	4-55
4.3.11 Floodplains and Floodways	4-56
4.3.12 Coastal Zone Consistency	4-57
4.3.13 Coastal Barrier Island Resources	4-57
4.3.14 Farmlands	4-58
4.3.15 Visual and Aesthetics	4-58
4.3.16 Summary Table of Natural Environment Impacts	4-59
4.4 Physical Characteristics	4-59
4.4.1 Noise	4-59
4.4.1.1 Measured Noise Levels	4-61
4.4.1.2 Results of the Noise Analysis	4-62
4.4.1.3 Evaluation of Noise Abatement Alternatives	4-63
4.4.1.4 Noise Barrier Analysis	4-65
4.4.1.5 Summary of Noise Barrier Analysis	4-67
4.4.1.6 Construction Noise and Vibration	4-67
4.4.1.7 Noise Contours	4-67
4.4.2 Air Quality	4-68
4.4.3 Construction	4-68
4.4.4 Contamination	4-70
4.4.5 Scenic Highways	4-72
4.4.6 Navigation	4-73
4.4.7 Summary Table of Physical Characteristics Impacts	4-74
4.5 Indirect Impacts	4-74
4.6 Cumulative Impacts	4-75
4.6.1 Introduction	4-75

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)**

<b><u>Section</u></b>		<b><u>Page</u></b>
	4.6.2 Development of Regional Impact	4-75
	4.6.3 Trend Analysis Methodology	4-77
	4.6.4 Regional Growth Overview (1900-1991)	4-78
	4.6.5 Regional Growth Overview (1991-2013)	4-78
	4.6.6 Future and Projected Regional Growth (2030)	4-80
	4.6.7 Cumulative Impacts by Issue	4-81
	4.6.7.1 Social Impacts	4-81
	4.6.7.2 Cultural Resource Impacts	4-82
	4.6.7.3 Natural Environment Impacts	4-82
	4.6.7.4 Physical Impacts	4-84
	4.7 Summary and Recommendation	4-85
5	COMMENTS AND COORDINATION	5-1
	5.1 Scoping Meeting	5-1
	5.2 Other Public Involvement Efforts	5-2
	5.3 Agency Coordination	5-5
6	LIST OF PREPARERS	6-1
7	LIST OF AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, AND PERSONS TO WHOM COPIES OF THIS STATEMENT WERE SENT	7-1
8	INDEX	8-1
9	REFERENCES	9-1

## LIST OF APPENDICES

### Volume 2

- Appendix A Correspondence and Boat Surveys
  - Appendix A-1 Waterlefe Transportation Easement Disclosure
  - Appendix A-2 Boat Survey Materials
  - Appendix A-3 Scoping Meeting Materials
  - Appendix A-4 Coordination
- Appendix B Traffic Technical Memorandum
- Appendix C Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS)
- Appendix D Wetlands Evaluation Report (WER)

### Volume 3

- Appendix E Biological Assessment (BA)
- Appendix F Noise Study Report (NSR)
- Appendix G Air Quality Memorandum
- Appendix H Contamination Screening Evaluation Report (CSER)
- Appendix I Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan (CSRP)
- Appendix J Conceptual Plan Sheets
  - Appendix J-1 Fort Hamer Alternative
  - Appendix J-2 Rye Road Alternative
- Appendix K FHWA 2007 DEIS Comments and Coordination Chapter
  - Appendix K-1 FHWA Coordination

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
ES-1 Other Improvement Projects within the Project Area	ES-3
ES-2 Comparative Evaluation Summary	ES-6
1-1a Needs Plan – Projected Daily Traffic Demand and Capacity of Bridges across the Manatee River	1-8
1-1b Financially Feasible Plan – Projected Daily Traffic Demand and Capacity of Bridges across the Manatee River	1-8
1-2 Summary of Projected AADT Volumes 2015 versus 2035 – No-Build Alternative	1-12
1-3 2015 Clearance Times for Operational Scenarios (Hours)	1-21
1-4 Maximum Evacuating Population by Time Interval for 2015	1-21
2-1 Step 1 Alternative Corridor Comparisons – 2028 AADT Projected Volumes	2-5
2-2 Step 1 Alternative Corridor Comparisons – 2028 AADT Projected Volumes over Manatee River	2-7

**LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)**

<b><u>Table</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
2-3 Step 1 Alternatives Corridor Comparisons – 2028 Environmental (HEVAL) Measures	2-8
2-4 Step 2 Alternatives Corridor Comparisons – 200-Foot Buffer Analysis	2-10
2-5 Step 2 Alternative Corridor Comparisons – 2028 AADT Projected Volumes (With Eight-Lane I-75)	2-11
2-6 Step 2 Alternative Corridor Comparisons – 2028 AADT Projected Volumes over Manatee River	2-13
2-7 Step 2 Alternative Corridor Comparisons – 2028 Environmental (HEVAL) Measures	2-13
2-8 Step 3 Alternative Corridor Comparisons – 110-Foot Buffer Analysis	2-15
2-9 Bridge Concept Alternatives Generalized Cost Comparison	2-20
2-10 Current CIP Projects	2-21
3-1 Manatee County and Build Alternatives Socioeconomic Information	3-2
3-2 Racial/Ethnic Composition	3-3
3-3 Population Projections	3-4
3-4 2011 Employment by Industry	3-5
3-5 Zoning within the Fort Hamer Alternative Study Area	3-14
3-6 Zoning within the Rye Road Alternative Study Area	3-14
3-7 Pending/Approved Residential Development	3-16
3-8 Future Land Use (Year 2030)	3-19
3-9 Existing (2011) and Future (2015 and 2035) No-Build Alternative Traffic and LOS	3-22
3-10 2010 U.S. Census Poverty Threshold	3-31
3-11 2010 Poverty in Past 12 Months	3-32
3-12 2010 Percent of Population Non-White/Hispanic	3-36
3-13 Existing and Proposed Utilities	3-39
3-14 Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites within One Mile of the APE	3-42
3-15 Previously and Newly Recorded Historic Resources within the Historical APE	3-54
3-16 Land Use/Vegetative Cover Types within the Fort Hamer Alternative Study Area	3-61
3-17 Land Use/Vegetative Cover Types within the Rye Road Alternative Study Area	3-63
3-18 Gulf of Mexico EFH – Managed Species Potential Occurrence within the Fort Hamer Alternative Study Area	3-69
3-19 Felts Audubon Preserve Bird Species	3-72
3-20 Listed Species Potentially Present within Both Build Alternatives	3-76
3-21 Approved Development within the Fort Hamer and Rye Road Study Areas	3-87
3-22 FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria	3-89
3-23 Summary of Potentially Contaminated Sites – Fort Hamer Alternative	3-92
3-24 Summary of Potentially Contaminated Sites – Rye Road Alternative	3-93

**LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)**

<b><u>Table</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
4-1 Cost/Benefit by Alternative	4-4
4-2 2035 VMT	4-7
4-3 Gallons of Fuel Consumed	4-7
4-4 2035 VHT	4-8
4-5 Annual Value of VHT	4-8
4-6 Projected Traffic Conditions for Build Alternatives	4-15
4-7 Social Impacts Summary	4-32
4-8 Cultural Resources Impact Summary	4-37
4-9 Permanent Wetland Impact Summary – Fort Hamer Alternative	4-40
4-10 Permanent Wetland Impact Summary – Rye Road Alternative	4-41
4-11 Wetland Impacts and UMAM Functional Loss	4-42
4-12 EFH Impact Summary – Fort Hamer Alternative	4-46
4-13 Listed Species Effect Determinations for the Fort Hamer Alternative	4-49
4-14 Listed Species Effect Determinations for the Rye Road Alternative	4-50
4-15 Summary of Floodplain Encroachment	4-56
4-16 Natural Environment Impacts Summary	4-60
4-17 Validation Data – Fort Hamer Alternative	4-61
4-18 Validation Data – Rye Road Alternative	4-62
4-19 Barrier 1E: Residences at Rye Acres Subdivision (Receptors 122-125)	4-66
4-20 Physical Characteristics Impacts Summary	4-74
4-21 Indirect Impacts Discussion Sections	4-75
4-22 Permitting Requirements for DRI and Sub-DRI Developments	4-76
4-23 Thresholds for DRIs in Manatee County	4-77
4-24 Manatee County Population Projects – Unincorporated Areas	4-79
4-25 Projected Development Related to the Project Area	4-79
4-26 Comparative Evaluation Summary	4-86
5-1 Comments Received	5-3

**LIST OF FIGURES**

<b><u>Figure</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
ES-1 Project Area Map	ES-2
ES-2 Alternatives Considered	ES-4
1-1 Project Area Map	1-2
1-2 Existing Crossings of the Manatee River	1-3
1-3 Projected Volumes on Committed Roadway Network (2035)	1-6
1-4 Needs Plan	1-7
1-5 Financially Feasible Plan	1-9
1-6 TAZ Locations and Expected Population Change in the Project Area (2007-2035)	1-11
1-7 Historical Land Use Changes in the Project Area	1-13
1-8 2030 Future Land Use with Urban Services Boundary	1-14
1-9 Emergency Service Facilities within the Project Area	1-16
1-10 County-Wide Average Ambulance Response Times (2007-2013)	1-17
1-11 State of Florida Designated Regional Hurricane Evacuation Routes (2013)	1-19
1-12 Manatee County Hurricane Evacuation Plan	1-20
2-1 Area Considered for the Development of Alternative Corridors	2-2
2-2 Reasonable Build Alternatives Analyzed	2-17
2-3 Fort Hamer Alternative Typical Sections – Roadway and Bridge	2-18
2-4 Fort Hamer Alternative Conceptual Plan View of Bridge and Approaches	2-22
2-5 Rye Road Alternative Typical Sections – Roadway and Bridge	2-23
2-6 Rye Road Alternative Conceptual Plan View of Bridge and Approaches	2-24
3-1 2010 Census Tract Locations	3-2
3-2 Total Employment per Square Mile by TAZ	3-6
3-3 Industrial Employment	3-8
3-4 Commercial Employment	3-9
3-5 Service Employment	3-10
3-6 Manatee County Housing Starts (2000-2011)	3-11
3-7 Year 2035 Total Employment per Square Mile by TAZ	3-12
3-8 2010 Zoning Map	3-15
3-9a Planned Future Residential Development in the Project Area	3-17
3-9b Planned Future Commercial and Mixed Use Development in the Project Area	3-18
3-10 2030 Future Land Use Map and Urban Services Area Boundary	3-20
3-11 I-75 (SR 64 to US 301) AADT Volumes and LOS Six-Lane I-75 Capacity	3-21
3-12 Religious Centers and Schools within the Fort Hamer Alternative and Rye Road Alternative Study Areas	3-25
3-13 Park and Recreation Facilities within the Fort Hamer Alternative and Rye Road Alternative Study Areas	3-27

**LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)**

<b><u>Figure</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
3-14 Public Facilities within the Fort Hamer Alternative and Rye Road Alternative Study Areas	3-29
3-15 Project Area, Poverty in Past 12 Months	3-33
3-16 2010 Non-White Population	3-34
3-17 2010 Hispanic Population	3-35
3-18 Location of Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites within One Mile of the APE	3-41
3-19 Approximate Location of Shovel Tests within the Project Area	3-44
3-20 Approximate Location of Shovel Tests within the Fort Hamer Alternative	3-48
3-21 Approximate Location of Shovel Tests within the Rye Road Alternative	3-49
3-22 Historic Resources Located within the Historic APE	3-53
3-23 FEMA Floodplain Map – Fort Hamer Alternative	3-81
3-24 FEMA Floodplain Map – Rye Road Alternative	3-82
3-25a Existing and Pending Developments – South	3-85
3-25b Existing and Pending Developments – North	3-86
4-1 Location of the Urban Services Boundary in Relation to the Build Alternatives	4-13
4-2 Projected 2035 Daily VMT within Manatee County	4-16
4-3 Projected 2035 Daily VHT within Manatee County	4-16
4-4 Community Service Facilities within the Study Areas	4-21
4-5 Annie Lucy Williams Elementary School Service Area	4-22
4-6 Gene Witt Elementary School Service Area	4-23
4-7 Park and Recreation Areas Facilities within the Study Areas	4-26
4-8 Public Facilities within the Study Areas	4-28
4-9 Predicted Noise Contours	4-69

**LIST OF ACRONYMS**

**A**

AADT	Annual Average Daily Traffic
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
ACI	Archeological Consultants, Inc.
ACS	American Community Survey
APE	Area of Potential Effect
AST	Above Ground Storage Tank

**B**

BA	Biological Assessment
BDR	Bridge Development Report
BEBR	Bureau of Economic and Business Research
BMP	Best Management Practices
BOCC	Board of County Commissioners

**C**

CAA	Clean Air Act
CAR	Contamination Assessment Report
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CIP	Capital Improvement Program
CO	Carbon Monoxide
COO	Certificates of Occupancy
CR	County Road
CRAS	Cultural Resource Assessment Survey

CSER	Contamination Screening Evaluation Report
CSRP	Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan
CU	Cleanup or Cleanup Status
CZMA	Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972
CZMP	Coastal Zone Management Plan

**D**

dB	Decibels
dB(A)	Decibels (A-weighted)
DCA	Department of Community Affairs
DEIS	Draft Environmental Impact Statement
DNF	Discharge Notification Form
DNR	Discharge Notification Report
DRI	Development of Regional Impact

**E**

EDB	Ethylene Dibromide
EDM	Environmental Data Management, Inc.
EFH	Essential Fish Habitat
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EMS	Emergency Medical Services
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERP	Environmental Resource Permit
ESA	Endangered Species Act of 1973, as Amended
EST	Environmental Screening Tool

**LIST OF ACRONYMS (CONTINUED)**

**F**

F.A.C.	Florida Administrative Code
FCMP	Florida Coastal Management Program
FDEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
FDHR	Florida Division of Historical Resources
FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FGDL	Florida Geographic Data Library
FID	Flame Ionization Detector
FLUCFCS	Florida Land Use Cover and Forms Classification System
FMSF	Florida Master Site Files
FNAI	Florida Natural Areas Inventory
FPPA	Farmland Protection Policy Act
FR	Federal Register
F.S.	Florida Statute
FSUTMS	Florida Standard Urban Transportation Modeling System
ft <sup>2</sup>	Square Feet
FWC	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
FY	Fiscal Year

**G**

GIS	Geographic Information System
-----	-------------------------------

GMFMC	Gulf of Mexico Fisheries Management Council
-------	---

**H**

HC	Hydrocarbons
HCM	Highway Capacity Manual
HEVAL	Highway Evaluation Module
HOV	High-Occupancy Vehicle

**I**

I-75	Interstate 75
IL	Insertion Loss
IMPLAN	Impact Analysis for Planning
IRA	Initial Remedial Action
ITS	Intelligent Transportation System

**L**

LDCA	Location Design and Concept Acceptance
L <sub>eq(h)</sub>	Equivalent Levels
LOS	Level of Service
L RTP	Long Range Transportation Plan
LUST	Leaking Underground Storage Tanks

**M**

MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918
MCAT	Manatee County Area Transit
MCEMD	Manatee County Environmental Management Department
mi <sup>2</sup>	Square Miles

**LIST OF ACRONYMS (CONTINUED)**

MOP Monitoring Only Plan  
 mpg Miles per Gallon  
 mph Miles per Hour  
 MPO Metropolitan Planning Organization

**N**

N/A Not Applicable  
 NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality Standards  
 NAC Noise Abatement Criteria  
 NAVD North Atlantic Vertical Datum  
 NEPA National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 NFA No Further Action  
 NFPA National Fire Protection Association  
 NGVD North Geodetic Vertical Datum  
 NHPA National Historic Preservation Act  
 NMFS National Marine Fisheries Service  
 NO Nitrogen Oxide  
 NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
 NOI Notice of Intent  
 NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System  
 NRHP National Register of Historic Places  
 NSR Noise Study Report

**O**

OFW Outstanding Florida Waters  
 OMB Office of Management and Budget  
 OVA Organic Vapor Analyzer

**P**

PD&E Project Development and Environment  
 PIM Permit Information Manual  
 PL Public Law

**R**

RA Remedial Action  
 ROW Right-of-Way

**S**

SA Site Assessment  
 SERT State of Florida State Emergency Response Team  
 SHCA Strategic Habitat Conservation Area  
 SHPO State Historic Preservation Officer  
 SMC Sarasota/Manatee/Charlotte Transportation Model  
 SR State Road  
 SRCO Site Rehabilitation Completion Order  
 SRRI Sacramento Regional Research Institute  
 SWFWMD Southwest Florida Water Management District  
 SWPPP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

**T**

TANKS Registered Tanks  
 TAZ Traffic Analysis Zone  
 TBRPC Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council  
 TDM Travel Demand Model

**LIST OF ACRONYMS (CONTINUED)**

THPO	Tribal Historic Preservation Officer
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TNM	Traffic Noise Model (Version 2.5)
TRB	Transportation Research Board
TSM	Transportation System Management

**U**

UMAM	Uniform Mitigation Assessment Method
US	U.S. Highway
U.S.	United States
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S.C.	U.S. Code
USCG	U.S. Coast Guard
UST	Underground Storage Tank

**V**

vpd	Vehicles per Day
VHT	Vehicle Hours Traveled
VMT	Vehicle Miles Traveled
VOC	Volatile Organic Compounds

**W**

WER	Wetlands Evaluation Report
-----	----------------------------