

IN THE UNITED STATES COAST GUARD
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,
Appellee

31 January 2012

MOTIONS FOR ORAL
ARGUMENT FILED 29 AUGUST 2011
AS PART OF APPELLANT'S BRIEF
AND 22 SEPTEMBER 2011 AS PART
OF APPELLEE'S BRIEF

v.

Wilson MEDINA,
Seaman Apprentice (E-2)
U.S. Coast Guard,
Appellant

CGCMG 0261

DOCKET NO. 1325

ORDER – PANEL NINE

On consideration of Appellant's Motion for Oral Argument, filed with this Court on 29 August 2011, and Appellee's Motion for Oral Argument, filed with this Court on 22 September 2011, both in accordance with the Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, it is, by the Court, this 31st day of January, 2012,

ORDERED:

That the Motions for Oral Argument be, and the same are, hereby granted. The Court will hear argument on 7 May 2012 at 1000 on the following issue: whether the providence inquiry was legally sufficient to support Appellant's plea of guilty to the specification of sodomy. Counsel should also address the question of whether, if the conviction of sodomy is set aside, the Court may reassess the sentence.

The hearing, which is open to the public, will be held at 4200 Wilson Blvd., Suite 790, Arlington, VA 22203-1804, in the Coast Guard Court of Criminal Appeals Courtroom.

For the Court,

Andrew R. Alder
Deputy Clerk of the Court

Copy: Office of Military Justice
Appellate Government Counsel
Appellate Defense Counsel