



1520

JUL 30 2012

MEMORANDUM

From: R. R. Rodriguez, CAPT
CG PSC (opm)

To: Member, Postgraduate/Advanced Training Selection Panel

Subj: PRECEPT FOR ASSIGNMENT YEAR 2013 SELECTION TO COAST GUARD
POSTGRADUATE AND ADVANCED TRAINING PROGRAMS

Ref: (a) Coast Guard Performance, Training, and Education Manual, COMDTINST
M1500.10 (series)
(b) COMCOGARD PSC ARLINGTON VA//C// 231313Z APR 12,
ALCGPSC 053/12
(c) Active Duty Officer Postgraduate and Advanced Education Application Process
Guide for Assignment Year 2013

1. You are hereby appointed as a member of a panel convened to select eligible active duty and civilian personnel for postgraduate and advanced training opportunities. The panel is governed by the selection criteria listed in references (a) through (c). Your tasking is to select those personnel who, in your opinion, are best qualified to complete the designated course of instruction and for promotion.
2. Postgraduate and advanced training programs provide personnel with the knowledge and skills necessary to fill billets requiring a high-level of expertise. Although the immediate goal of these programs is to develop those skills necessary for performance in specific billets, the long-term goal of these programs is to establish a high-level of technical and managerial competency among officers. Therefore, to ensure that the time and money invested is well spent, only those applicants who display the potential for maintaining a high level of productive service while in positions of increasing responsibility should be selected. If you question an applicant's suitability for increased responsibility, or promotability, do not select that applicant.
3. All personnel who apply by the published deadline and meet the eligibility requirements for postgraduate and advanced training, as specified by reference (a) and as modified by references (b) and (c), must be considered by the panel. Waivers will be considered and approved prior to the panel convening. Thus, all candidates appearing before the panel are equally eligible for selection.
4. Officers who have attended full-time Coast Guard funded postgraduate and advanced training will not normally be selected for additional programs. However, all officers of the appropriate

Subj: PRECEPT FOR ASSIGNMENT YEAR 2013 SELECTION TO COAST GUARD
POSTGRADUATE AND ADVANCED TRAINING PROGRAMS

pay grade may be considered for the Command and Staff, Law (LLM), and Masters of Science in Acquisition Project Management programs.

5. The Coast Guard's aviation staffing levels require the majority of aviators to remain within the specialty for which they have been trained for at least two aviation tours. Aviators may apply for most programs with the exception of those described in paragraph 10 of reference (c). The maximum number of aviators selected per program will be determined based on Service need, aviation program requirements, and balancing postgraduate and advanced training opportunities for aviators.

6. In addition to the above guidance, the panel should consider the factors explained in the Commandant's Guidance to Promotion Year 2013 Officer Selection Boards and Panels, which is provided in enclosure (1).

7. Consolidated panels may offer a postgraduate or advanced training opportunity within their discipline to competitive officers that differs from their E-Resume application choices.

8. The selection criteria listed in reference (a) is further defined as follows:

a. Eligible grade and year group. Except for programs specifically designated for senior officers, applicants who are either O-5, O-5 select, or who will be in-zone for O-5, should not be selected. Program solicitation messages further specify eligible grade requirements as necessary.

b. Performance of Duty. Past performance of duty, as reflected in officer evaluation reports (OERs), must be the primary factor in the selection process. If there is doubt as to an applicant's ability to successfully compete for promotion, do not select that applicant for postgraduate or advanced training, even if a training allowance billet will remain vacant.

c. Academic Achievement. An applicant's past academic performance may be considered a predictor of future academic success. Applicants with less than a 2.5 undergraduate academic grade point average on a 4.0 maximum scale should have demonstrated more recent improved academic performance in order to be considered for selection. In the case of these applicants, strong professional performance coupled with recent academic success may be considered a compensating factor for a lower undergraduate academic grade point average. Outstanding academic achievement that is not coupled with a strong record of performance is not sufficient for selection.

d. Standardized Test Scores. Standardized test scores provide a method of comparing applicants' achievement with backgrounds in different curricula at different schools and may factor into an applicant's ability to be competitive for a graduate or advanced training program. Standardized test scores, as required for admission to specific programs, must be submitted as part of the application package unless otherwise specified by the program.

e. Curricula Preference (first, second and subsequent choices). Among equally qualified applicants, curricula preference should be considered as one indicator of an applicant's interest in the program.

Subj: PRECEPT FOR ASSIGNMENT YEAR 2013 SELECTION TO COAST GUARD
POSTGRADUATE AND ADVANCED TRAINING PROGRAMS

9. Panel members must swear or affirm that they will, without prejudice or partiality, and having in view both the special fitness of officers and the efficiency of the Coast Guard, perform the duties imposed upon them in accordance with references (a) through (c). Furthermore, all members must be able to say that the officers recommended for selection are, in the opinion of at least a majority of the members if the panel has five members, or in the opinion of at least two-thirds of the members if the panel has more than five members, are the best qualified based on the applicant's Electronically Imaged - Personnel Data Record (EI-PDR) and documents furnished. Panel members may not divulge any information related to the proceedings of the panel.

#

Enclosure: (1) Commandant's Guidance to Promotion Year 2013 Officer Selection Boards
and Panels

COMMANDANT'S GUIDANCE TO PY13 OFFICER SELECTION BOARDS AND PANELS

- Ref: (a) U.S. Coast Guard Publication 1, Appendix C
(b) The Armed Forces Officer, National Defense University Press, 2007 Ed.
(c) U.S. Coast Guard Publication 3-0
(d) U.S. Coast Guard Commandant's Direction 2011

As Coast Guardsmen, we are honored and privileged to perform meaningful work, essential to the long term safety and security of our country. To meet the demands of our unique capabilities, we must cultivate, promote, and assign officers who possess the leadership skills and competencies to achieve mission success in the challenging maritime environment. I expect officers will demonstrate a commitment to the culture and Core Values of the Coast Guard, and the traditions of Officers of the Armed Forces of the United States of America. References (a) through (d) provide in-depth background and guidance to meet this expectation.

The Coast Guard renews leadership through the selection of our best qualified officers for service in the next higher grade. Members of selection boards and panels must be mindful of the sacred trust they bear for not only the future of our Service, but also the future of the individual officers under consideration.

Servant Leadership

“Servanthood is not about position or skill. It’s about attitude...Servant leadership is never motivated by manipulation or self-promotion. In the end, the extent of your influence depends on the depth of your concern for others.”

- John Maxwell

Responsibility, authority, and accountability

Promotions to the next higher grade mandate commensurate increases in responsibilities and authorities. Officers should aspire to command, command cadre, senior staff positions, positions of the highest responsibility within their specialty, or other assignments that provide them with successively greater levels of responsibility, authority, and accountability.

Be particularly vigilant for those who serve and succeed in positions accompanied by additional risk and rigorous accountability.

Select officers who believe in “service” before “self”: leaders who cultivate positive command climates and work environments. They must also display the strength of character to hold subordinates accountable for lapses in performance and/or behavior.

Promote leaders who forge, maintain, and strengthen beneficial internal and external partnerships.

Choose ethical, responsible stewards of our public resources, who maintain and require the highest levels of professional accountability.

Honoring our Profession

Promote leaders who focus on skillfully and safely performing our core roles and missions, while also prioritizing demands to ensure mission balance and a high degree of readiness.

Officers should select a specialty, and a sub-specialty, continually working to gain experience, knowledge, and proficiency in these areas. More importantly, officers must demonstrate the ability to transform their specialty knowledge and experience into effective leadership.

Recognize officers who commit to continual learning and self improvement through qualifications, certifications, or other professional milestones, and the pursuit of advanced education. Authorship or participation in professional organizations is also an important part of lifetime learning.

All officers selected should demonstrate selflessness, humility, professionalism, and enthusiasm; live by our Core Values of Honor, Respect, and Devotion to Duty; seek responsibility, exercise authorities judiciously, and ensure accountability.

Diversity is vital to mission relevance, readiness, and execution. Diversity of talent, ability, ideas, and viewpoints—as well as ethnicity, gender, culture, color, and creed are critical in a Service that represents our employers: the American people. The Coast Guard also needs active participants in affinity groups and professional organizations, using knowledge gained there for mentoring and individual development. This guidance does not require or permit the preferential treatment of any officer or group of officers based on race, religion, color, gender or national origin.

FOR BOARDS CONSIDERING SELECTION, PROMOTION, AND CONTINUATION OF CHIEF WARRANT OFFICERS

CWOs are mature individuals with appropriate education and specialty experience who have shown through demonstrated initiative and past performance they have the potential to assume positions of greater responsibility requiring broader conceptual, management and leadership skills. While administrative and technical specialty expertise is required in many assignments, CWOs must be capable of performing in a wide variety of assignments that require strong leadership skills. Select for appointment and promotion those individuals who demonstrate leadership and technical prowess in their current roles and can rapidly adapt to the culture of the officer corps.

FOR BOARDS CONSIDERING SELECTION TO O-2 THROUGH O-4, AND PANELS CONSIDERING OFFICERS IN THOSE GRADES:

Promote officers to O-2, O-3, and O-4 who are consistently demonstrating enduring core competencies and leadership qualities. For selection to O-2 and O-3, discount documented minor errors; place greater emphasis on subsequent performance that reflects lessons learned and demonstrates the officer's potential to serve in the next higher grade.

Junior officers should be rated and promoted based on professional knowledge, skills, and experience in their chosen specialty. Their primary Service value lies in their technical expertise at the tactical level, growing leadership abilities, professional competency, and experience. They should progressively demonstrate technical competence and proficiency through on-the-job training, formally recognized training or education, and/or achievement of professional milestones - for example, completion of naval flight training and follow-on upgrade training to aircraft commander, requisite marine inspector training and qualification, or qualification and preparation to become a commanding officer of a patrol cutter. These may likely preclude the officer from pursuing additional advanced education opportunities; obtaining qualification or certification of these types should be considered commensurate with the level of effort and importance of earning a Master's degree.

Pursuing/obtaining postgraduate degrees is not an acceptable substitute for gaining experience and proficiency in one's specialties at this stage of a career. Advanced education should augment one's sea bag, not fill it.

As officers progress, they should shift their development focus from the tactical/technical skills to leadership skills. Although it is common to solve problems based solely on one's own experience, we need officers willing to work outside their comfort zone and continually learn from those around them.

Junior reserve officers are expected to demonstrate the same progression in responsibility and technical experience as their active duty counterparts.

FOR BOARDS CONSIDERING SELECTION TO O-5 AND O-6, AND PANELS CONSIDERING OFFICERS IN THOSE GRADES:

Promote officers to O-5 and O-6 who demonstrate excellence in the enduring core competencies and leadership qualities set forth in my above guidance and who are the best in grade.

They should also possess strong representational, oral and written communications skills.

O-5s should demonstrate evidence of mastery in their specialty and the analytical ability to solve complex challenges.

O-6s should have achieved mastery of their specialty and demonstrate an overarching understanding of our Service. These officers shall exhibit a commitment to the development and well-being of their subordinates, which may include a record of mentoring others: reaching out into their field as well as diverse segments of our community.

O-5 and O-6 candidates must clearly demonstrate both a high level of technical competence and proven leadership abilities in demanding assignments. Evaluate how candidates exercise responsibility and authority and their success leading teams in accomplishing tasks. Look for innovation, vision and adaptability, as well as character and enthusiasm. Choose those who maintain and teach good stewardship of our public resources. Promote leaders who can complete major projects, beyond merely proposing ideas or methodologies. Select leaders whose contributions, regardless of execution or support role, impact operations, achieve operational efficiencies, or otherwise advance safe and effective mission accomplishment.

The Coast Guard requires more officers remain within their respective specialty areas. Developing and maintaining these specialized skills (e.g., law, finance, acquisition) requires current experience and continuous engagement in competencies.

O-5s and O-6s must also understand the major issues facing the Coast Guard; this is attained through assignment diversity (i.e., different types of unit, billets, and geographic locations), participation in studies and task forces, and special assignments.

Value those who understand joint, interagency, inter-governmental, not-for-profit, private and international sectors.

Senior reserve officers must be experts in their individual mobilization specialty and focus on the mobilization potential of those reservists in their span of control. They should demonstrate joint/interagency expertise through assignments and aggressive pursuit of educational opportunities such as the Reserve War and Staff Colleges. Senior reserve officers should show both assignment and geographic diversity, and be equally comfortable in the Coast Guard operational environment and the DoD and interagency community.

FOR O-6 CONTINUATION AND SELECTION TO FLAG RANK


Flag officers and Senior O-6s provide overarching vision and leadership for our Service. They are the representatives of our Service to the public, Congress, other armed services, cabinet-level agencies and senior government officials, international bodies and foreign governments, and industry. They are ultimately responsible and accountable for the good stewardship of the resources entrusted to us by the American people.

Give particular consideration to officers who take on the most difficult and challenging high-visibility assignments and leadership positions. These include assignments in mission execution (particularly those commanding operational units), mission support, or

details outside of the Coast Guard. Required characteristics include: decisive, balanced and strategic decision making; poise, knowledge, and presence that advance the reputation and excellence of our Service; political understanding and Service advocacy to impact national-level policy making; sound stewardship of public resources; and finally, humility...an essential characteristic of the best leaders.

O-6s selected for continuation should be our best-qualified and must manifest the qualities needed in flag officers.

We need our flag officers to be the very best in grade, with records and reputations that distinguish them as the brightest and most capable to lead our Service into the future. Selection to flag also requires embracing and advancing the enduring core competencies and leadership qualities. Subordinates, peers and seniors should all seek to serve with them. Flag officers must have a passion for our Service, clear conviction of purpose, and demonstrate collegiality.



R. J. Papp, Jr.
Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard
Commandant